(PWD 36 GIP 67)

19

File No. PWD 47 MMI 6

Serial Nos.

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
(MAJOR IRRIGATION)
Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

Part B for

SUBJECT

Re: Char Dam site of
Amaraja River
~~Dam~~ site.

to ~~Koratti~~ Sangoloji

WD 90295—GPB—2,00,000—1-6-61

No. of pages ..... 13 .....
No. of connected pages ..... 109 .....
Cover ..... 'B' .....
C/s Sec. Section I ..... Book .....
For/Not to after .....
To be destroyed .....
Destroyed
Dy. .....
Gr. .....

17/10/16

Serial No.

1) The file placed below, regarding 'Sharavathi project' may please be perused with reference to the note thereon.

B. J. Venkatachar
DWS.
26/8/61

Sri Prabhakara Rao
DWS-IV.)

DEPUTY SECRETARY, P. W. DEPTT. No. 577 28 AUG 1961 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Apart from the question of providing more acreage under irrigation there is this additional point to be considered namely that lands in Korhalli valley are much costlier than lands in Sangolge village. There again the village Sangolge is near Aland than Korhalli. Hence if the centre is at Sangolge the proposed dam can be located at Aland which is only two to three miles from Sangolge but 8 miles from Korhalli. Lastly the Investigation Circle may be asked to report as to whether the terrain at Sangolge will provide for cheaper construction costs in respect of the dam to be constructed.

Here there are considerable Govt lands

PWD 47 MM 61.

The entire background of the investigation seems to be only utilisation of the maximum amt of water, regardless of the cost of utilisation of such water per cusec. Hence the Divn Commr's report might be called for on these various pts and then the facts ascertained be put up to Secy PWSE for information.

NK Prabhakar

4) With reference to paras 2-3 above, a draft letter is placed below for consideration and approval.

17/10/81.

5) DS(C) may please see & orders before issue

DS(C) 6) Personal I Dy Secy agree the letter may please be issued

D.S (Major Irrigation) 19/10 Dy Secy 19/10 NK Prabhakar DSCS

PWD 47 MMc

8479/M.9.

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. of 195 . | [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 47 MMIG
Dated 18th NOV. 61
Cgs

Letter No. BHM-1/60/TA3 dated 30.8.61. from the C.E, S.P. Nig

8) The P.V.D may be perused. The C.E, S.P. has forwarded 2 plan papers on the subject as desired vide page 16 C.F.

In view of our latest letter at page 10, these papers may be filed awaiting replies from Divisional Commr: Gulbarga & the C.E, S.P. Mysore also.

9) Submitted for orders.

SAO 16/11/61.

10) The Divl. Comrs. & CE SP may be reminded with reference to our letter at P. 10 C.F.

16/11/61

11) Yes NOR 18/11

12) Draft reminder is submitted as per orders above.

SAO 20.11.61.

13) Issue

21/11/61.

NOR 27/11

Despatched in No. P.W.D.A.)
Cgs. 29.11.61.

File No. PWD 47 MMR 2 61 of 195.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Letter No. BHR-1/60/TA 3, dated 17.1.62 from the C.E, S.P.R.

(4) The P.W.D may be perused with reference to our reminder on page 24 C.F.

Final report is awaited from both: Comm: & C.E, S.P. Both of them may be reminded. A draft is submitted for appn:

Sd/-
19/2/62.

15) Issue

Sd/-
20.2.62

Sd/-
22/2/62

16) Despatched in No. P.W.D.47.M.M.1.61 Dated 22nd February 62

Sd/-

17) Letter no. REV/1360/IRR/61-62 dated 7.3.62 from the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga Division forwards a report on the subject.

18) Petition from the villagers of Battarga, Dhangapur, Tavali, Degavati, Bhosanoor

19) Submitted for perusal. The Divisional Commissioner has sent a detailed and self Contained report, after inspection of both the sites viz. Sangalgi and Kolhar. Details regarding the acreage that will be brought

Serial No.

under irrigation, submersion, compensation to be paid, water potential etc in respect of both the sites have been given in detail. Kind reference particularly requested to page 6, portion marked in the P.W.D. and to 'B' and orders are required as to the further action to be taken on this.

20) In Govt letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31-3-61 (flag D in the bunched file) approval was accorded to the Korahalli Site for the Amaravathi Dam as it was reported to the letter than the one at near Sangolgi village, from the point of view of utilisation of the maximum water potential of the Valley.

21) Subsequently, in the letter at P 10 CE the Divl Commr Gulbarga and the CE JP were requested to Reexamine the comparative advantages and disadvantages of the Korahalli and Sangolgi Site and to send a report. The report of the CE is awaited. The Divl Commr Gulbarga has sent in his report which is placed at pages 31 to 37 CE for perusal. The report is self-explanatory and deals with

Serial No.

the comparative merits and demerits of the two Sites exhaustively. In the light of the facts set forth in his Report the Devpt. Commr is of the view that "it would be desirable to construct the project at the Sangolgi Site rather than at the Kolhar Site."

22) In this connection it is submitted that this is a fit case for being referred to the M.D.P.C Board for examination and for furnishing their recommendations to enable Govt to arrive at a decision.

23) Submd. for orders.

23/3/62

24) C.E (P) may be addressed by a D.O. letter to expedite the report due from him.

10.4.62

25) A reminder is put up.

10/4/62

26) Issue & put up.

10.4.62

10/4/62

28) Despatched in No. P.W.D. 47 MM 961
Dated 13.4.62

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. PWD 47 MMI 61 of 195

[App. F. III 2

Serial No.	
	29) Issued and resubmitted w.r. to para 27 overleaf. Ref. orders of Min PW & T. on the margin of page 66 CF. 17/4/62
	30) CE(HP) may be reminded again. 17/4/62 30.4.62
	31) Reminder is sent up 30/4/62
	32) Issue 1.5.62 [redacted] 47-MMI-61 4-5-62
	33) Petition addressed to the Minister for PW & T by Sri Bhairappa Patil and others of Hanal Tq requesting to change the title of the dam, from [illegible] (x) Note of the P.S. to the Minister on the Petition.
	34) A note on the request may be submitted to the Minister.
	35) The connected file is submitted for perusal. The Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga has sent a detailed report on the subject which may be seen from Pages 31 to 54 CF. Report called for from the C.E.(HP) is awaited. The interim reply since received from him is for perusal.
	3. May be submitted to the Minister for perusal. 5/5/62
	37) Remind CE again

D.O. No. AMJ 1/60 TA3 dated 1.5.62 from the CE HP.

(x) P. 73 CF

	Serial No.
38) A reminder is put up.	
39) Issue	
Despatched in No. P.W.D. W.M.M. 61 Dated 2-5-62	
40) A reminder is put up.	
41) Issue	
Despatched in No. P.W.D. 47 W.M.M. 61 Dated 14/6/62	
42) Letter no. AMJ I/60/TA 3 dated 2.7.62 from the CE (PP) on the subject.	
43) Submitted for perusal.	
44) The salient features of both the Projects are as furnished by the CE (PP) are as under:-	

	Koraliaar	Sangoli
Submergence of Govt land	17 acres out of a total submergence of 31 acres	70 acres out of a total submergence of 107 acres.
Cost of land coming under submergence	practically the same in both the villages	
Villages and population affected	3 villages with a population of 2,350	2 villages with a population of

Serial No.

	Korahalley	Sangoli
Total submergence	2,359 acres	1,832 acres
Utilisation of water	3·3 T.M.C.ft	2·5 T.M.C.ft
Cost of dam	Rs 1·91 Crores	Rs 1·53 crore
Area that will be brought under irrigation	16,500 acres	13,000 acres
Cost per acre of irrigation	Rs 1,157/-	Rs 1,177/-

45) The C.E (IP) states that it has been represented by the people of Korahalley village that a large scale development of the village under various spheres of activity has been taken up under N.E.S and hence this village be spared from submergence. This is stated to be a bigger village and therefore the question of rehabilitation will be more difficult. Besides the economy of Korahalley village will be improved if the dam site is located at Sangoli.

46) The C.E (IP) therefore states that taking all these aspects into consideration, Sangoli site is preferable to Korahalli site and requests approval of Govt for taking up detailed investigation of the dam site.

47) Kind reference is invited in this connection to the report furnished by the Divisional Commissioner Belgaum at Pages 31 to 37 C.F.

48) In Govt. letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31.3.64 approval

Serial No.

Was accorded to the Kavalli Site (otherwise known as Kolhar site also) for the Amerja Dam. Subsequently, also as in pursuance of the orders in para 2 of notes above both the Divnl. Commr. Gulbarga and the C.E.Ip were requested to re-examine the question and furnish their reports. Their reports are at pages 31-54 and 94 to 106 C.F. It will be seen therefrom that both the Divnl. Commr (vide P. 36 C.F.) and the C.E.Ip (vide P. 96 C.F.) have recommended the Sangolgi Site for the Amerja Dam. Now orders are required (see also Para 19) Submitted for orders.

With reference to para 22 above.

11/7/62

(50

Paras. 20 to 21 and 44 to 46 of the notes may please be seen. It may be seen that both the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects and the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga have recommended the Sangolgi site in preference to the Kolhar site for the Amerja Dam. The O.S.D. may please see before the file is put up to the Chief Minister for perusal and for modifying the orders dated 31-3-1961 approving the Kolhar site.

24/7/62

(K.B. Murarappa)
Dy. Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.(I)

WD 04700—GPB—PZS—

485/1962

Serial No.

51) We may accept the upper site @ Sangolgi, provided the same does not offer any extra difficulties on its due investigations thus necessitating unduly heavier investments than what is now tentatively indicated.

52) The fact that the upper site would result in leaving out some catchment lower down untapped need not be taken seriously, as it should be possible to tap a portion of it in due course, by providing an anicut (or even a small dam) lower down, for collecting the regeneration from the 13000 acres of irrigation that this project adds.

25/8/62

S. G. BALEKUNDRY,
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

53) The Sangolgi site may be approved. Bo 14 (E) (SP) and the Finance Commissioner has agreed on this.

27/8

J. J. FERNANDES,
Secy., P.W. & Elecy.

54) CM 30/8/62

Secy PWD - D.O. (I.)

31/8

31/8/62

Note.—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 196 .

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

55) Issue orders

7/9/62

K. B. MURARAPPA,
Deputy Secretary to Govt., PWD (Irrgn.).

56) A draft is put up for Confirmation.

13/9

Issued. 56) Issue

Encls. Nil

Date. 19.9.62

14/9

57) May be recorded under 'B'

20/9

27/9/62

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
7723
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

47 MMP 61.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE
DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER
GULBARGA.

No. REV/1360/IRR/61-62

Dated 10th August 1961

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPPAL SECTION
14 AUG 61
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Sub:- Request for changing the Dam site of Amaraja River to Koralli Sangolagi Dam site.

******

Sir,

With reference to the above, I write to request you kindly to send a copy of Government letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31.3.1961, if there be no objection, in connection with certain representations received here about the selection of Dam site of Amaraja River.

Yours faithfully,

for Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga.

Submitted for perusal.
If approved, a copy of the letter may be forwarded.
Draft letter is submitted.
" F.H. "
20.8.

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 47 MMP 61
Dated 22/8/61

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Request for changing the Dam Site of Amaraja River from Korahalli to Sangolgi.

Diary No. of letter replied to 7723.

Section M. 9

File No. 47 M M 961

Serial No.

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

No. PWD 47 M M 961.

To

The Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga.

Date of issue

Signed by

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 47 M M 961
Dated 22/8/61

Ref: Your letter No. REV/1360/IRR/61-62 dt 10.8.61.

Sir, I am directed to forward herewith a Copy of letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dt 31.3.61 regarding the above subject as desired by you.

*R. Typed two copies as encls: (P. 20 C.F. Linked file)

Yours faithfully,
for Secy:
by: Secy: to Govt: P.W.D.

List of enclosures

Copied by

18/8/61.

Examined by

19/8/61.

WD 04696—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—22-3-60

R.G.S
V.S.

No. PWD 47 MMI 61

Dated, Bdr, 22-8-61
Shravana Saka Era 1883

From :-

The Secy. to the Govt of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department.

To.

The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga Division, GULBARGA

Sir,

Sub:- Request for changing the Dam site of Amaraja River from Korahalli to Sangolgi.

Ref:- Your letter No.REV/1360/IRR/61-62 dated 10th August 1961.

-.-

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter (/)No.PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31st March 1961 regarding the above subject, as desired by you.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J. VENKATESA DAS)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

2218

COPY of the letter No.PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31st March 1961 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works and Electricity Department, Bangalore addressed to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad.

-.-

Sub:- Representation of the ryots of Korahalli Village, Aland Taluk, Gulbarga District regarding change of Amarja Project Dam site to Sangolgi site.

Ref:- Letter No.1859 dated 2.11.1959 from the Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna and Bhima Survey Division, addressed to this office and copied to you.

-.-

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to convey approval to the Korahalli Site for the Amerja Dam as it is reported to be better than the one near Sangolgi Village from the point of view of utilisation of the maximum water potential of the valley.

....

M. S. Husaini

For Secretary to Government,
P.W. & Elec. Deptt.,

Sd/-
2/8

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.



Office of the
Chief Engineer, PWD
Irrigation Projects.
Munirabad.

NO. BHM 1/60 TA 3 Dated. 11-9-61

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.



Sub:- Request of the villagers of Battarga, Dhangapur, Jawali, Devanti, Bhusnur, Sirur, for changing the Dam site on Amerja River to Korhalli Songolgi - Dam site.

Ref:- This office No. BHM 1/60/TA3 dated 3-8-61.

....

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter referred to above, I have to state that the Investigations of the Project has been entrusted to The Ex. Engineer, Upper Krishna and Bhima Division, Yermarus and he has been expedited to complete the surveys and submit the estimates at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, PWD,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

Copy to Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar, for information with reference to his No. MJT/3003 dated 12-8-61 with a request to submit the Project report at an early date.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT..... Amaxja Dam Project — Site for Met .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the *195* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Despatched in No. P.W.D.

Dated 11.11.61

Section

File No.

Serial No

No. PWD 47 MMJ 61.

To

Sir

(1) The Distt. Commr.

Gulbarga

~~Belgaum~~ Da

Gull

Belga

(2) The Chief Enginee

Irrigation Proj

Munirab

Sir,

Ref: (cir letter to Distt Commr.) Your letter

No. Rev/1360/

61-62 dated

10. 8. 61.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

(cir letter to CE) Your letter N

BHM.1/60/TA3

dated 4. 9. 61.

WD 04696—GPB—PZS—8.00.000—22-3-60

letter No PWD 2 MBM 57 dated 31.3.61 and your letter cited above regarding the above Subject, I am directed to State that the background of the investigations for the Amaraja project appears to be mainly with a view to utilising the maximum quantity of water regardless of the cost of utilisable of such water per cusec. In this connection I am to add that apart from the question of providing more acreage under irrigation there is another point to be considered namely that the lands in Kosahalli Village are reported to be costlier than lands in Sangolgi Village. Moreover there is stated to be a considerable extent of Govt lands in Sangolgi. Also Further, the Village Sangolgi is reported to be nearer Aland Town than Kosahalli. Hence if the Amaraja Dam is located at the Site near Sangolg

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

the Project headquarters could be located at Hal which is only two to three miles from Sangalgi & seven miles from Kora Lastly it may be examined whether the terrain at Sangalgi provide for cheaper construction costs in respect of the dam to be constructed.

All the above aspects may please be examined and a report with your definite recommendation regarding the site for the dam may be furnished for consideration of Govt. This may please be treated as very urgent.

Yours faithfully.

[redacted]

Dy. Secy. to Govt. Sluices & V. Bds

Bvd.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Supdg. Engr. Irrigation Investigation circle, Dhaka for an urgent Report.

for the Ch. Engr. I.P.D.

Dy. Secy. to Govt.

Bvd.

17/10/61.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No PWD 47 MMI 61.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
Dated, Bangalore, 1st October 196 1
Kartika , Saka Era 1883

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

To

1. The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga Division, Gulbarga.
2. The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects, Munirabad.

Sir,

Subject: Amarja Dam Project - Site for the -

Reference: (In letter addressed to Divl. Commr.,)
Your letter No. Rev./1360/IRR/61-62 dated 10-8-1961.
(In letter addressed to C.E.,) Your letter No. BHM.1/60/TA3 dated 11-9-1961.

With reference to this Office letter No. PWD 2 MMI 59 dated 31-3-1961 and your letter cited above regarding the above -subject, I am directed to state that the background of the - investigation for the Amarja project appears to be mainly with a view to utilising the maximum quantity of water regardless of the cost of utilisation of such water per cusec. In this connection I am to add that apart from the question of providing more acreage under irrigation, there is another point to be considered namely that the lands in Korahalli village are reported to be - costlier than lands in Sangolgi village. Moreover there is stated to be a considerable extent of Government lands in Sangolgi. Further the village Sangolgi is reported to be nearer Aland Town than - Korahalli. Hence if the Amarja Dam is located at the site near Sangolgi the project headquarters could be located at Aland which is only two to three miles from Sangolgi but seven miles from - Korahalli. Lastly it may be examined whether the terrain at Sangolgi will provide for cheaper construction costs in respect of the dam to be constructed.

All the above aspects may please be examined and a report with your definite recommendation regarding the site for the Amarja Dam may be furnished for consideration of Government. This may please be treated as very urgent.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-

(C. J. Venkatesa Das)
Deputy Secretary to Govt., PWD.,
(Irrigation).

Gva.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Superintending - Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar for an urgent report through the Chief Engineer, Irrigation - Projects.

31/10

(C. J. Venkatesa Das)
Deputy Secretary to Govt., PWD(Irrgn.).,

PWD 47 MM 9 61.
(2 MBM 59)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Office of the
Chief Engineer, PWD,.
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

NO. BHM-1/60/TA3
6

Dated. 30-8-61

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Departmen
Bangalore.

Sub:- Request of the villagers:-

1) Battarga (2) Dhangapur (3) Jawali (4) Devant
5) Bhusnoor (6) Sirur for changing the dam site
Amarja river to Koralli- Sangolgi Dam site
(Surveyed) in 1334F i.e. 1914 A.D.

Ref:- Your endorsement No. PWMI 7570 dt. 17/19-8-61

....

Sir,

I am herewith enclosing a copy of the Govt: letter No. PWD 6936/MI/61 dated 28-7-61 along with the enclosures (original application of villagers) and also a copy of Govt: letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dt.31-3-61 with which approval for the Korahalli site for Amerja - project was communicated to this office as desired.

Yours faithfully,

for Chief Engineer, PWD
Irrigation Projects
Munirabad.

Encl:-(6 Sheets)

P.T.O.

13

PWD 8479 MJ 61.

1) The P.O.D may be perused. The file on the subject has been referred to the Deputy Secy: (Services) on 2.8.8.61.

The return of the file may be awaited, if approved.

....

SAQ 6.9.61. 2) This may be referred to the D.S. (S) for kind return of file No. PWD 2 MBM 59.

6/9/61 D/S(S)

D/S PWD(S) Dy No. 57 on 28-8-61.

3) The file No PWD. 67 MMI 61 / PWD 2 MBM 59 has been marked to D/s (Irrgn) on 2nd x 61.

SAQ

Copy of letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31st March from the Secretary to Government , PWD. Bangalore. t Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad.

Sub:-Representation of the ryots of Korahalli village, Aland Taluk Gulbarga Dist. regarding change of Amerja Project Dam site from orhalli.site to Sangolgi site.

Ref:- Letter No. 1859 dated 2-11-1959 from the Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna and Bhima survey Division addressed to this office and copied to you.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to convey approval to the Korahalli site for the Amerja Dam as it is reported to be - better than the one near Sangolgi village, from the Point of view of utilisation of the maximum water potential of the valley.

Yours faithfully,

sd/-
Deputy Secretary to Govt:
PWD.(I)

C. F. No. 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tele-gram / phone

No.

Encls.

OFFICE OF

Chief Engineer
Irrigation Projects

BHMI/60/TA3

Date 3-8-61

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH
No. 7570
10 AUG 1961

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPPAL SECTION
8 AUG 1961
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

The Superintending Engineer,

Irrigation Investigation Circle,

DHARWAR.

Sir,

Subject: Request of the Villagers -- 1. Battarga 2. Dhangapur 3. Jawali 4. Devanti 5. Bhusanoor 6. Sirur, for changing the Damsite of Amarja River to Korelli - Sangolgi Damsite (Surveyed in 1334 F. i.e. 1914 A.D.,)

Reference: This office No. BHMI/60/TA3 dt. 22-5-61.

In continuation of this office letter under reference, I enclose herein a copy of Government letter No. PWD 6597/M dated 28-7-1961 with its enclosures and request you to please report immediately, whether the surveys of Amarja Project have been completed or not, and if so when the estimate may be expected in this office. It may be stated that the site selected is Korhalli as approved by Government and communicated to you with this office No. BHMI/60 dt. 7-4-61.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
for Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects.

Copy to the Secretary, Public Works Department, Bangalore, for information. The Superintending Engineer, has already been intimated about Korhalli Site that has been approved by Govt., and was requested to take up surveys of the above project early. The original application of the ryots has already been returned to Government by the Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna & Bhima Survey Divn., Yermarus, with his letter No. 1859/3 dt. 2-11-59. Further report on the progress of the surveys will be submitted on receipt of a reply from the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar.

Copy forwarded to Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna & Bhima Survey Divn., Yermarus, for immediate report.

Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects.

PWM-J. 25-7-70

Returned to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Murshabad for retransmission with the reference dated 28.7.61 of this office cited overleaf which was sent in original and also to indicate the Govt. communications in which the Scheme of the Project was approved.

6-8-70
Dy. Secy to Govt.
12/8/70.

T A 3
22/8 22/8

D 13-7-1961

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Gulbarga,



SUBJECT: Request of the villagers 1. Battarga, 2.Dhangapur, 3. Jawali, 4. Devanti, 5.Bhusanoor, 6. Sirur, for changing the Dam site of Amaraja River to Koralli, Sangolgi Dam site (Surveyed in 1324 i.e., 1914 (A.D.).

Ref: Our applications D/- 27-6-1959 and 15-5-1961.

R E M I N D E R .

Respected Sir,



We the public of (1) Battarga, (2) Dhangapur, (3) Devanti, (4) Javali, (5) Bhusanoor and (6) Sirur village of Taluka, beg to draw your kind attention to our above applications, the copies of which are attached herewith for your ready reference.

This is to further bring to your kind notice that some of the persons who have vested interests have been making efforts to misguide the Govt. as to postpone the construction of the dam proposed above.

Hence, we request you kindly to study the whole question minutely and see that this project is included in the programme of 3rd Five Year Plan of Gulbarga District.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Public of villages cited above.

Copy forwarded with compliments:

1.The Hon'ble Chief Minister, Govt.of Mysore,
2.Minister for P.W.D. & Electricity, Govt.of Mysore, Bangalore,
3.The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore,
4.The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigiation (North) Munirabad,
5.Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Camp Yermarus, Raichur Circle,
6. The Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga,
7. The Executive Engineer PWD Upper Krishna Dam Project, Raichur

Memo No. PWD 6936/M9/61. dt 28.7.61.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer
I. P Municipal in continuation
of this Office Memo No. PWD 5083/M9/61.
dated 29.5.61. for disposal

— G.J. Vaidya
27/7/61
for: Secy. to Govt.
P.W.D.
26/7/61.

26/7/61.

BHM1/60
152
MM/8/8

action taken as per P83
J BH.M/1
4/8

To

The Minister for P.W.D. and Electricity,
Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

SUBJECT: Request of the villagers, 1. Bataga, 2. Dhangapur, 3. Jawali, 4 Devanti, 5. Bhusanoor, 6. Sirur, for changing the Dam site on Amarja River to Koralli Sangolgi Dam site (Surveyed in 1324 i.e. 1914 A.D.)

Ref: Our application dated 27-6-1959.

Sir,

Kindly look into the matter contained in our above application wherein we have requested for changing the Amarja Dam site from Hittal -Sirur - Nimbarga to Korhalli site, where the submergence is the least when compared to its actual aya-cut and benefits.

The villagers are expressing a grave concern over the happenings and they are not taking any interest in cultivation. Due to which famine condition is likely to prevail very shortly, if the villagers are not assured of their safety.

Yours faithfully,

(Bahirappa Patil)
Bhusanoor Tq. Alland, for the Public of
Bhusanoor, Devanti, Javali, Sirur,
Batarga, & Dhangapur.

D/- 15-5-1961.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore Bangalore, for favour of information and needful action.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North Munirabad, for favour of information and doing needful.

Copy forwarded to the superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Camp Yermarus, Raichur Circle, for favour of information and doing needful.

Copy to the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga for favour of information and doing needful and looking into the grievances.

Copy to the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Upper Krishna and needful in the matter.

The Honourable Chief Minister,
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Request of the villagers 1, Batarga, 2.Dhangapur 3. Jawali, 4. Devanti, 5. Bhusnur, 6. Simur. 7. for changing the dam site on Amarja Biver to Korahalli-Sangolgi Dam site (Surveyed in 1324 i.e., 1914. A.D.)

Respected Sir,

We the villagers of the above villages in Alland Taluka District Gulbarga lay down a few words for favour of kind and sympethetic consideration.

A site for dam construction on Amarja river was selected near Korehalli-Sangolgi (AllandTaluqa) and surveyed by the Nizam's Public works Department from 1324 to 1352 F., i.e., from (1914 to 1941.A.D.) The compensation case was on move till 1941, since taluka Alland was a 'Paiga' area and the area to be submurged was in only 'Paiga' area and the benifited area was no -paiga. The case was dropped altogether after this period. On this surveyed site, which is hardly 6 miles up stream of the site for which surveys are being conducted by the Public works Department, the villages that are to be submurged a t the old site are Korahalli- Sangolgi at Alland Taluka, and major of its sub-murgence area falls in jungle area.

Now the survey officer of the Publice works Department have taken up surveys on Nimbarga-Hittalsirur site where the gap between the two mountains is 2½ to 3 miles, when compared , hardly a mile on Korahalli-Sangolg Dam site. If the dam is constructed on this site, the following villages will be submurged. All these villages of quite a big ones and the land is most fertile in Gulbarga District. The average yield for dry land is

20 to 25 pallas per acre.

Village.	House.	Lands.	Acre-Guntas.		Population.
1 Korhalli.					
2.Bhusanoor	542	334	7947	37	3000
3.Devanti	101	-	2292	34	715
4.Jawali	330	148	2937	-	1500
5.Sirur	233	253	4859	19	1476
6.Betarga	130	60	746	29	750
7.Dhangapur	150	-	2735	10	1000

The compensation for this vast area villages will be huge, whereas compensation for Korhalli-Sangolgi will not be too costly, if the Government is prepared to pay us the Korhalli and Sangolgi properties compensation, of lands and houses, we are prepared to provide them with houses and lands in the above villages.

The cost of construction at Korhalli-Sangolgi site will be cheeper and beneficial to large extent in all respects.

The cost of construction at Nimbarga-Hittalgirur will be too costly, will not be too beneficial, and moreover the site will be far away from river site etc.,

The survey expenses etc., of Nimbarga- Hittalsirur area will be too costly and unnecessary burden, as the Korhalli, Sangolgi areas are already surveyed and is only to revise.

Because of dispute between the then Khalsa Govt. of Korhalli and Paigah Govt.of Sangolgi, the proposed scheme is suspended.

The villagers of Korhalli are sure of their lands to be submerged in either sites, hence it is their opinion to better select Korhalli-Sangolgi site for the dam.

The Korhalli - Sangolgi site consists of two villages Korhalli and Sangolgi, having hardly 250 houses - (including hutments and mud-stone constructed old

Contd...

houses. The lands are also not so superior, and moreover a major portion is jungle, which can be got convinced from office records.

We earnestly request your honour to refer the case of Korhalli-Sangolgi Dam site in Gulbarga District Aland Taluka and save us the villagers from ruin and destruction.

We pray God to give a long and prosperious life to serve the nation.

Yours faithfully,

Bahirappa Patil, Bhusanoor Tq. Aland,
for Public of Bhusanoor, Devanti, Jawali,
Sirur, Baterga, Dhangapur.

Dated 21-6;-1959.

Copy forwarded to the Minister for P.W.D. and Electricity of Mysore in Bangalore for sympathetic consideration.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Secretary to Govt.of Mysore State, Bangalore for looking into the grievances of the villagers and doing needful.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore, for favour of information and needful.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Major, Irrigation (North) Munirabad, for favour of information and doing needful.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, PWD? Yermarus, Raichur Circle, for favour of information and doing re edful.

Copy forwarded to the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga for favour of information and doing re edful and looking into the grievances.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Upper Krishna and Bheema Project Raichur, for favour of information and doing needful.

****

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No.PWD 47 MMM 961.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated 29-11-61.

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Dept, Bangalore.

To 1. The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga Dn: Gulbarga

2. The C.E., D.P., Munirabad.

Sir,

Sub: Amarja Dam Project—
Site for the.

I am directed to invite a reference to the marginally noted communications and to request you kindly to furnish the report, therein referred to urgently.

This Office letter of even number dated 1.11.1961.

Yours faithfully,

by Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Despatched in M... on
Dated 29/11/61.

20/11/61.

21/11/61

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 47 MMI 61.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 28th Nov. *196:*

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore*
Public Works Department.
BANGALORE.

To

The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga Division, GULBARGA.

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Project, MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- Amaraja Dam Project - site for the

I am directed to invite a reference to the marginally noted

This office letter of even No( ) communication and to request you
dated 1.11.1961. ( ) kindly to furnish the report,
---

therein referred to, urgently.

JOIR

(K.V.Rajarathnam)
Deputy Secretary to Governmen
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

28/11/61.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 1360
12 DEC 1961
PUBLIC WORKS (MAJOR IRRIGATION)

U R G E N T.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE
DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER
G U L B A R G A

No.REV/1360/IRR/61-62

Dated 5th:December,1961
6/12-61

SECRETARIAT
1 DEC 61
MLS

To

The Secretary to Government, P.W.D.,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sub:- Amaraja Dam Project - Site for the

Ref:- Govt.letter No.PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 29-11-1961.

*****

11.12.61

Sir,

I write to inform that the Divisional Commissioner proposes to inspect the spot sometime this month in company with the Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna & Bhima Survey & Investigation Division, Camp Yermarus and a report in the matter will be sent thereafter.

12/12/61

Yours faithfully,

6/12

FOR DIVISIONAL COMMISSIONER
G U L B A R G A.

5.12

P.T.O.

PWD 11601/M 9/61.

The report of the Divisional Commissioner Galle & a request may kindly be seen.

We may await for further report from the Divl: Comm: meanwhile this may be placed in Secs "B" table

15.1.61

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Office of the
Chief Engineer, PWD
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

NO. BHM-1/60/TA3 Dated. 17-1-62

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Sub:- Amaraja Dam project- site for the-

Ref:- Government letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 29-11-1961.

...

Sir,

I have to state that the report called for from the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar is still awaited and he has been expedited in the matter. On receipt of the reply from him, the detailed report will be forwarded to Government.

Yours faithfully,

17.1.62.

for Chief Engineer, PWD,
Irrigation Projects,

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPPAL SECTION
20 JAN 1962
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Amaraja dam Project—
Site for the.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD 4) MRL 961.

To

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Despatched in No. P.W.D.....
Dated.....22/2/62.....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

The Divisional Comm
Gulbarga.

Ref:- Your letter No.
REV/1360/IRR/61-62
dated 6.12.1961.

Sir,

I am directed to
invite a reference
to the communicati
cited on the above
subject and to
request you kindly
to expedite the report
~~furnish the report~~

in the matter as promised

~~in the letter under reference~~

This may be treated as

Most urgent.

Yours faithfully,

JR 20.12.62

for Secy. to Govt.

P. W. D (A)

Copy of the above is forwarded to the C.E, D.P, Kurnool with reference to his letter No. BHK-1/60/TA3 dated 17.1.62 for similar action.

G.O.M.

PWD 47 MAI 61

M.G.S.
V.S.
B'lore, 22-2-62.

To,

The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga.

Sir,

Sub:- Amaraja Dam project - site for the
- - -
Ref:- Your letter No. REV/1360/IRR/61-62 dated 6-12-1961.
- - -

I am directed to invite a reference to the communication cited on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite the report in the matter as promised.

This may be treated as Most- Urgent.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/-

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Govern ent.,
Public Works Depart ent (Irrigation).

KJP.

- - -

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Project, Munirabad with reference to this letter No. BHD-1/60/TA3 dated 17.1.62 for similar action.

21.2.62

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

Te SAO
21/2/62.

Office of the
Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga Division,
Gulbarga Dt: 6th March,1962.



No.REV/1360/IRR/61-2 D/7-3-62

To,

The Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Subject:- AMARJA DAM PROJECT - SITE FOR THE --

Ref:- Government L.No.PWD 47 MMI 61 Dated 1st November,1961 and subsequent reminders.

-o-

Sir,

I have inspected the two alternative sites namely, the Sangolgi and Kolhar sites(the latter popularly called Korahalli site), in company with the Executive Engineer, Upper Krishna Project Investigation Division, and obtained the required data both from him and from the Revenue Officers concerned. It seems now fairly certain that there is no possibility of an economic utilisation of the waters of Amarja river below either of the above-mentioned sites, as the available Ayacut area before it joins Bhima gets restricted as one goes farther down the river. The constructions of a pickup anywhere below these sites would only add to the cost per acre without providing corresponding benefits, and the Executive Engineer is therefore of the opinion that there is no feasible alternative to either of these sites.

In any case, only these two sites have been referred to in Government letter and hence their merits and demerits are considered here.

The position broadly is that at the Sangolgi site the irrigation that can be secured is only about 13,000 acres as the water utilisation is only about 2.57 T.M.C.Ft., whereas a distinctly larger area of 16,500 acres can be irrigated if the reservoir is built at the Kolhar site where the yeild is of the order of 3.30 T.M.C. Ft., The larger yield is rendered possible by the confluence of two other fairly big Nalas with the main river just below Sangolgi and just above Kolhar.

Prima facie, therefore, it would be more advantageous to have the reservoir at the Kolhar site from the point of view of utilising to the maximum possible extent the Water of the river. There are however, a few important considerations which need mention. Firstly, while the dam at Sangolgi site submerges two comparatively small villages, namely, Sangolgi and Rajwal, a reservior at the lower site at Kolhar submerges Kolhar and Sangolgi villages. The area that comes under submersion at the Sangolgi site is 1,832 acres 26 Gs., of which 68 acres 21 Guntas are already irrigated and 106 acres 38 guntas are Government land. The number of Government trees etc., that would be affected by this site would be about 427(Valued at Rs.17,845/-) and irrigation wells numbering about 20(valued at Rs.51,400/-) would also be affected. At the Kolhar site, the total submersion would be about 2,360

acres, of which 203 acres 38 guntas are already irrigated and only 31 acres 6 guntas will be Government land. The number of trees affected would be over 900, valued at Rs.53,225/- and the irrigation wells which will be submerged number about 35, valued at a little over Rs,1,73,000/-. According to the valuation now made by the local Revenue Officers, the compensation that might have to be paid for the lands coming under submersion in the Sangolgi site would be of the order of about 16.6 lakhs whereas it would be about 31.5 lakhs at the Kolhar site, that is to say, about double that for Sangolgi site. The Executive Engineer has however pointed out that the valuation now put on the lands by the Revenue authorities works out in some cases to as high a figure as Rs.2,000/- per acre, whereas the rate of compensation proposed for all types of lands for Upper Krishna Project is Rs.560/- per acre on an average. He has further stated that on a reference made to the Tahsildar some time earlier, the latter had stated that the rates would work out between Rs.800/- and Rs.1,200/- for garden lands and between Rs.500/- and 800/- for dry lands. In view of these discrepancies, I have gone into the question as to which of the valuations may be accepted. It appears that the average rate of compensation per acre in the case of Kolhar site works out a little over Rs.1,400/- after taking into consideration the compensation for wells, trees etc, whereas the corresponding figure for Sangolgi site is about Rs.945/- It seems to me that in the present conditions these valuations are not excessive and it is not unlikely that the Land$ Acquisition Officer will find that

compensation between Rs.500/- and Rs.1,500/- might have to be paid per acre of land in this region. There is, however, no great difference between the value of lands at the two sites and it may at best be of the order of Rs.100/- to Rs.200/- per acre. It has to be noted further that there is slightly more irrigated area that will get submerged in the Kolhar site and many more irrigation wells and trees have to be compensated than in the case of Sangolgi site.

Applying the valuations now suggested by the Tahsildar, the cost of the project at the Sangolgi site comes to Rs.1.53 crores as against Rs.1.91 crores at Kolhar site, that is to say, Rs.1,215/- per acre of irrigated land at the former site against Rs.1,160/- per acre of irrigated land at the latter. Since the cost per acre is still higher at Sangolgi site inspite of the smaller area irrigated, the selection of Kolhar site would still be desirable, but there are certain other factors which need considerati

The above figures for the estimated costs provide not merely for the difference in the amount of compensation to be paid at the two sites but also for the difference in the rehabilitation expenditure involved in the two cases. A notable feature of the estimate in the case of the Sangolgi site is that two aqueducts at an estimated cost of Rs.5 lakhs would have to be provided to cross the two Nallas whose waters would be utilised if the project were located at the Kolhar site, thus indicating that from this point of view also the Kolhar site would

The selection of Kolhar site involves the submersion of the fairly prosperous and populous village of Kolhar(Korhalli), and the investment already made on certain development works in Kolhar village, which may be valued at between Rs.50,000/- and Rs.1 lakh, would thereby become infructuous, although the assets so destroyed will be replaced in the rehabilitated village. The villagers of Kolhar have put forward the following pleas against the selection of Kolhar site;

1- The lands of Kolhar village are very fertile much more than in the case of Sangolgi-- and the agricultural population will be seriously affected if these fertile lands are submerged;

2- There are long standing village industries like mat manufacture, basket making etc., in the village;

3- Three drinking water wells have been constructed in the village by Government at a cost of about Rs.45,000/-;

4- Development works worth about Rs.70,000/- have been executed in the village in recent years;

5- It is proposed to take up more development works worth about Rs.64,500/- shortly in the village;

6- There are tens of thousands of toddy trees which are fetching handsome annual revenue to the Government at present and which will be submerged if the Kolhar site is selected; and

7- There is a temple called Bhog Lingeshwar temple at Kolhar which is frequently visited by a large number of visitors, and confluence of two other streams with Amarja river near Kolhar is considered to be sacred.

to do for the village in future, it is a fact that a sizeable expenditure on development works has been incurred in and near the village, and agricultural practices and production have also improved in latter years in this area. It is also true that some 560 acres more of valuable land will get submerged and many more irrigation wells and trees affected than in the case of Sangolgi. The other pleas put forward do not seem to be equally weighty, but even on the basis of the considerations mentioned I am of the view that it would be desirable to construct the project at the Sangolgi site rather than at the Kolhar site, inspite of certain technical advantages of the latter site. However, if the overall interests of the state from the point of view of the maximum utilisation of the waters of the river should require that irrigation be developed in this area to the largest extent feasible, then the Kolhar would have to be selected although it involves the abandonment of a comparatively prosperous and fairly well-developed village in this area.

The point made in the Government letter of 1st November,1961 about the selection of the Sangolgi site serving to reduce the cost on account of construction of project buildings does not seems to be important as there is very little difference between the distances from Aland to the two sites. In fact, the two sites are so situated (as may be seen from the relevant sketch) that there is a common hillock from which the two alignments proceed -- one north-eastwards(in the

case of the Sangolgi site) and the other south-eastwards (in the case of the Kolhar site). Whether or not the construction costs would be cheaper at Sangolgi on account of the nature of the terrain would be for the Engineers to say, but the difference, if any, can be presumed to have been taken into account in the latest comparative statement furnished by the Executive - Engineer.

Copies of the Executive Engineer's report on the subject and its enclosures, as also a copy of the Tahsildar's report are enclosed for information.

Yours faithfully,

6/3/62

Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga.

COPY of letter No. 4427, dt. 8-2-1962, from the Executive Engineer, P.W.D., UKBSI.Dn. Camp Yermarus., to the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga.

Sub:- Amerja Dam Project.

Ref:- Your D.O. No. REV-1360/IRR/61-62, dt. 29th Jan. 1962.

Sir,

With reference to your note on the inspection of the Amerja Project Site at Kolhari village accompanying the above D.O. I have to submit herewith 10 statements - giving thedetailed valuation for compensation for the acquisition of private properties in Kolhari, Sangolgi and Rajwal villages. A statement showing the cost of resettlement of these villages is also enclosed.herewith. The valuation and estimates are approximate. It may also be stated that if a dam is constructed at Kolhari site two villages viz. Kolhari and Sangolgi will be submerged. Whereas if a dam is constructed at Sangolgi site it will submerge to villages viz. Sangolgi and Rajwal. The comparative statements both for technical details and cost consideration are enclosed herewith for both the sites. The statements giving the comparative figures for both the sites handed over to you personally at Gulbarga on 28-1-1962 may be treated as superseded by these statements. While arriving at the cost of resettlement of various villages, standards laid down in G.O. No. PWD-6-SVP-5 dated 6-10-1959 have been adopted.

As regards the compensation for acquisition of lands the figures reported by Tahsildar, Alland have been adopted. A copy of the statement furnished by Tahsildar Alland is enclosed herewith for your reference.

From the statement furnished by Tahsildar, Aland for compensation of wells and lands it is seen that the compensation figures arrived at are abnormally high and the rate in some cases works out to Rs.2,000-00 per acre. In the Upper Krishan Projet the rate of compensation proposed is Rs.560/- per acre for all types of lands. Even assuming that the lands in this valley are more fertile a figure of

of Rs.800/- per acre on an average is considered adequate. On a previous reference made by this office to Tahsildar Aland regarding the cost of land per acre in Kolhari and Sangolgi villages, the Tahsildar has intimated that the cost of land per acre in Kolhari and Sangolgi is Rs.800/- to ₹ 1200 for a garden and 500 to 800 for dry lands (Please vide a copy of statement enclosed). The total compensation that has to be paid will be on this scale Rs.2,329 x 800 = 18,63,200 for Kolhari site and Rs.1,726 x 800 = 13,80,800 for Sangolgi site. These figures have been taken for arriving at the total cost of the Project at each site. The exact or the approximate and reasonable compensation that will have to be included may kindly be reviewed by you if necessary in view of above suggestion and the revised figures may kindly be communicated to me so as to enable me to include them in the Project report which will be prepared by this office in future.

The other advantages and disadvantages and merits and demerits of both the dam sites have been already explained to you during your inspection of the above two sites. Technically both the sites are feasible.

As regards the additional food production and the additional income it may be stated that the additional food production would be of the order of 3500 x 0.32 = 1120 Tons. This would amount to an additional income of Rs.56,000/- at the rate of Rs.50/- per ton. The water utilisation at Kolhari site would be 3.30 T.M.C. as against 2.57 T.Mc. at Sangolgi site. The water potential obviously is more at the Kolhari site in as much as valleys of two major nalas will be excluded from the catchment if the dam to be constructed at Sangolgi site.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
(S.M. PATIL)
Executive Engineer, P.W.D.
UKBSI.Dn. Camp Yermarus.

Statemnt showing the details of land and village compensation for the Amerja Project.

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Kolhari site.	Sangolgi site.
1.	Compensatin for the lands coming under submergence.	33,79,426	17,32,900
2.	Compesnation for the building of submerged village.	9,00,000	4,25,000
3.	Rehabilitation of villages.	3, 5,000	2,00,000
	TOTAL:	46,59,426	23,5,900
	OR SAY:	46.54 Lakhs	23.58 Lakhs.

Sd/- (S.M. PATIL)
Executive Engineer, P.W.D.
UKBSI.Dn. Camp Yermarus.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Amerja Irrigation Project.

General Abstract for Kolhari & Sangogi Site.

Cost of the project both sites taking the figures for land compensation as given by the Revenue Department.

Sl. No. (1)	Sub-Head. Particulars. (2) (3)	Kolhari Site. (4)	Sangogi site. (5)
I.	Reservoir & canals.		
1.	"A" Preliminaries.	Rs. 75,000/-	Rs.75,000/-
2.	"B" Land (Compensation details as prepared in Tahsil office)	Rs.6031000/- " 5866000/-	Rs.3253000/- " 5240000/-
3.	"C". works.		
4.	"D" Regulators.		
5.	"F". River and hill torrent works	Rs.3715000/-	Rs.3473000/-
6.	"G" Bridges.		
7.	"L". Earth work.		
8.	"K". Buildings.	Rs. 565000/-	Rs. 5,65000/-
9.	"M". Plantation.	Rs. 50000/-	Rs. 50000/-
10.	"O". Miscellaneous.	Rs.3,70000/-	Rs. 3,70000/-
11.	"P". % Maintenance.	Rs.3,15000/-	Rs. 3,15000/-
12.	"Q". Special tools and Plants.	Rs.2,15000/-	Rs. 2,15000/-
		Rs. 1,72,02,000/-	Rs.1,35,56,000/-
II.	Estab-lishment charges. (7%)	Rs. 7,78000/-	Rs. 7,25,000/-
III.	Distributeries.	Rs. 7,69,000/-	Rs. 7,00,000/-
		Rs.1,87,49,000/-	Rs. 1,49,81,000/-
	Deduct the salvage value of special tools and plants.	Rs. 10,000/-	Rs. 10,000/-
		Rs. 1,87,39,000/-	Rs.1,49,71,000/-
IV.	Add Aya cut Engineering works.	Rs. 3,61,000/-	Rs. 3,29,000/-
	Add for aqueduct across 2 major streams and heavy banking @ 2,50,000 each.	-	5,00,000/-
	T o t a l :-	Rs.1,91,00,000/-	Rs,1,58,00,000/-

Sd/-Executive Engineer PWD,
UK & BSI Division.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Amerja Irrigation Project.

General abstract for Kolhari & Sangogi Site.

Cost of project at both sites taking the figures for land compensation as assessed by P.W.D.

Sl No. (1)	Sub-Head. Particulars. (2) (3)	Kolhari Site. (4)	Sangogi Site. (5)
I	Reservoir & Canals.		
I.	"A". Preliminaries.	Rs.75,000/-	Rs. 75,000/-
2.	"B". Lands (Compensation details as prepared by Division office)	Rs.4112000/-	Rs. 28,47,000/-
3.	"C". Works.	RS.5866000/-	Rs. 52,40,000/-
4.	"D". Regulators.		
5.	"F". River & Hill Torrent works.		
6.	"G". Bridges.		
7.	"L". Earth works.	Rs.37,15000/-	Rs. 34,73,000/-
8.	"K" Buildings.	Rs.5,65,000/-	Rs. 5,65,000/-
9.	"M".Plantation.	Rs. 50,000/-	Rs. 50,000/-
10.	"O".Miscellaneous.	Rs.3,70,000/-	Rs. 3,70,000/-
11.	"P". Maintenance.	Rs.3,15,000/-	Rs. 3,15,000/-
12.	"Q" Special tools and Plant (2½%) on works.	Rs.2,15,000/-	Rs. 2,15000/-
		Rs.1,52,83,000/-	Rs. 1,31,50,000/-
II.	Establishment charges. (7%)	Rs. 7,78,000/-	Rs. 7,25,000/-
III.	Distributeries.	Rs. 7,69,000/-	Rs. 7,00,000/-
		Rs. 1,68,30,000/-	Rs. 1,45,75,000/-
	Deduct the salvage value of special tools and plants.	Rs. 10,000/-	Rs. 10,000/-
		Rs. 1,68,20,000/-	Rs.1,45,65,000/-
V.	Ayacut Engineering works.	Rs. 3,80,000/-	Rs. 3,35,000/-
	Add for aqueduct across 2 major streams and heavy banking @ 2,50,000/- each.	-	Rs. 5,00,000/-
		Rs. 1,72,00,000/-	Rs. 1,54,00,000/-

Sd/-Executive Engineer,P.W.D.
UK. & BSI.Division.

Ameria Project.

Abstract of estimate for rehabilitation of Sangolgi village Alland Taluk Gulbarga District.

No.	Particulars.	Qty.	Rate.	Per.	Amount.
1	Area of land required for rehabilitation.	8 acres.	500/-	acre.	4,000/-
2	Drinking water.	8 wells.	5000/-	each.	40,000/-
3	Katcha road to be connected to main road.	3 miles.	5000/-	Mile.	15,000/-
4	Village Panchayat Hall.	1 Hall	5000/-	Hall.	5,000/-
5	Development of village such as internal roads drainage jungle clearing levelling ground etc.	L.S.			15,000/-
6	School buildings.	L.S.			9,000/-
7	Providing burrial ground.	L.S.			800/-
8	Transportation of salvaged material.	L.S.			20,000/-
9	Providing temple, Masjid & Asurkhana.	Nos.	2000/-	each.	14,000/-
				Total.	1,22,800/-
	L.S. for other unforeseen works.				2,200/-
				Grand total:-	1,25,000/-
				Orsay.	1,25 lakhs.

Sd/-(S.M.Patil) Executive Engineer,
U.K. & BSI.Division
Camp Yarmerus.

AMARJA PROJECT.

ABSTRACT ESTIMATE FOR REHABILITATION OF KOLHARI VILLAGE.

Sl No.	Particulars.	Qty.	Rate.	Per.	Amount.
1.	Land acquisition for construction of houses.	12 acres.	500	acre.	6,000/-
2.	Drinking well.	15 Nos.	5000/-	No.	75,000/-
3.	Constructing Kutcha Project roads.	2 miles.	5000/-	Mile.	10,000/-
4.	Construction of Village L.S. Panchayat Hall.				5,000/-
5.	Gokatte (note as the village will lie near Amerja reservoir Gokatte has not been provided.				
6.	Development of village such as construction of intervail roads drainage, jungle clearing etc.	L.S.			30,000/-
7.	Constructing primary school 6 rooms of 16' x 14'	L.S.			15,000/-
8.	Providing for burrial ground.	L.S.			1,000/-
9.	Free transportation of salvage materials.	L.S.			45,000/-
10.	Construction of temples.	Nos.			14,000/-
11.	Providing amenities which are existing in peasant village Kolhari which is to be rehabi lated.				
	i) Public latrines.	L.S.			4,000/-
	ii) Lady teacher quarter.	LS.			4,000/-
	iii) Community work shed.	L.S.			15,000/-
	iv) Gramsevak quarter.	L.S.			3,000/-
	v) Cooperative Godown.	L.S.			15,000/-
				Total.	2,42,000/-
	L.S. for other unforeseen works.				8,000/-
					2,50,000/-
			or say Rs.		2.50 lakhs.

Sd/-(S.M.Patil)Executive Engineer,
P.W.D.U.K.& J B.S.I.Division
Camp Yarmerus.

Amerja Project.

Abstract estimate for rehabilitation of Rajwar village.
Alland Taluka, District, Gulbarga.

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Qty.	Rate	Per	Amount.
1.	Area of land required for rehabilitation.	400 acres	500/-	acre	2,000/-
2.	Drinking water.	4 wells.	5000/-	Well	20,000/-
3.	Katcha road to be connected to main road.	3 miles	5000/-	mile	15,000/-
4.	Village panchayat hall.	1 hall	5000/-	hall	5,000/-
5.	Development of village such as constructing interval roads providing drainage jungle clearing etc.	L.S.	--	--	10,000/-
6.	Schools buildings.	L.S.	--	--	5,000/-
7.	Providing burrial ground.	L.S.	--	--	800/-
8.	Transportation of salvage material.	L.S.	--	--	10,000/-
9.	Provising temple, masque and Ashoor-khana.	2 Nos.	2000/-	each	4,000/-
				Total:-	71,800/-
	L.S. for other unforeseen works.				3,200/-
				Total:-	75,000/-
				or say.	0.75 lakhs.

Sd/- (S.M.Patil)
Executive Engineer, P.W.D.
UKBSI. Dn. Camp Yermarus.

Statement for the compensation of acquiring the building of Kolhari village Taluka Alland, District Gulbarga.

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Area	Rate	Amount.
1.	Compensation for houses with C.R.S.masonry wall and mud roof.	28327	5.50	1,55,798
2.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. masonry wall and mud roof.	77714	4.00	3,00,856
3.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. walls & Zinc sheet roof.	5668	3.00	17,004
4.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S.walls & Thach roof.	13847	2.50	34,617
5.	Compensation for houses with Tatti side walls and thack roof.	5188	1.50	77,82
6.	Compensation for houses with tatti sides wit Zinc sheet roof.	837	2.00	1,674
7.	Compensation for open space.	55931	1.00	55,931
8.	Wells.	8 Nos.	2000/-	16,000
9.	Government wells.	3 "	--	--
).	Government buildings.	5 "	--	--
l.	Temples and Aushoor khan.	8 "	--	--
			Total:-	5,89,662
	L.S. for other unforeseen works.			10,338
			or say.	6,00,000 6.00 lakhs.

Sd/- Executive Engineer, PWD
UK & BSI Division.

Statement for the compensation of acquiring the buildings of Songogi village, Taluka Aland District Gulbarga.

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Area	Rate	Amount.
1.	Compensation for houses with C.R.S.masonry walls & mud roof.	9053	5.50	49,791
2.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. masonry walls & Mud roof.	37862	4.00	1,51,448
3.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. masonry wall & Mud roof	5314	3.00	15,942
4.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. Walls & Thatch roof.	8158	2.50	20,395
5.	Compensation for houses with Tatti sides and thack roof.	4946	1.50	7,434
6.	Compensation for houses with Tatti sides with Zinc sheet roof.	530	2.00	1,060
7.	Compensation for open space.	49985	1.00	49,985
8.	Compensation for well.	1	2000/-	2,000
9.	Government wells.	1	--	--
10.	Temples.	7	--	--
				2,97,155
	L.S. for other unforeseen works.			2,845
			Total:-	3,00000
			or say	3.00 lakhs.

Sd/- Executive Engineer P.W.D
UK & BSI Division.

atement for the compensation of acquiring the buildings of Rajwar Village, Taluka Aland, District Gulbarga.

*****

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Area.	Rate.	Amount.
1.	Compensation for houses with C.R.S.mansonry walls & mud roof.	4097	5.50	22,533
2.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. walls and mud roof.	13540	4.00	54,160
3.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. walls & zinc sheet roof.	6042	3.00	18,126
4.	Compensation for houses with U.C.R.S. wall Thach roof.	2016	2.50	6048
5.	Compensation for houses with Tatti sides thach roof.	694	1.50	1041
6.	Compensation for open space.	21879	1.00	21,879
7.	Temples and Masjid.	2	--	--
		Total:-		1,23,785/-
	L.S.for other unforeseen items.			1,215/-
		Total:-		1,25,000/-
				or
				1.25 Lakhs.

Sd/-

Executive Engineer, P.W.D
UK&BS I Division.

STATEMENT OF VALUATION OF
TALUK ALAND, DIS

Sl. No.	Name of the village.	Total submergeable area.	Area under irrigation. Nala.	Well.	Total.	Dry lands.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Kolhari.	1201-22	33-34	145-21	179-15	1022-7
2.	Sangogi.	762-12	4-0	9-0	13-0	749-1
3.	Rajwal.	23-4	7-23	--	7-23	15-2
4.	Gulhalli.	2-16	--	--	-	2-1
5.	Bhusnoor.	153-20	--	--	-	153-2
6.	Bhottegaon.	211-23	--	--	-	211-2
7.	Alland.	5-16	4-00	--	4-00	1-1
	Total:--	2359-33	48-57	154-21	203-38	2155-3

"C

Exec
Upp
Surv
C

/ TRUE

ANDS UNDER (KOLHARI DAM).
RICT GULBARGA.

No.Of trees.			No.of wells.				
Govt. lands.	Fruit bearing trees.	Other trees.	Pucca	Kutcha.	Total	Total valuation.	Remarks.
8	9	10	12	12	13	14	15
-	157	598	14	10	24	23,98,653-00	
17-10	9	80	6	4	10	5,89,502-50	
-	--	--	--	-	--	32,350-00	
-	--	4	--	--	--	2,350-00	
11-4	13	41	--	--	--	1,17,150-00	
2-32	3	10	--	--	--	2,31,340-00	
	8	8	--	1	1	8,080-00	
30-46	190	741	20	15	35	33,79,425-50	

Average cost per acre Rs. 1432=00.

Sd/-
Tahsildar Aland.

py"

Sd/-

tive Engineer,
r Krishna & Bhima,
y & Investigation Division
mp, Yermarus.,
Via- Raichur).

STATEMENT OF VALUATION OF L
TALUKA ALAND DIS

Sl. No.	Name of the village.	Total Submergeable area.	Area under irrigation. By nala	By well.	Total	Dry. lands.	Govt. lands.	Fbt
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1.	Sangogi.	916-24	4-0	21-0	25-0	891-24	68-16	
2.	Kolhari.	139-24	--	--	--	139-24	--	
3.	Bhusnoor.	127-23	--	--	--	127-23	--೭	
4.	Rajwal.	154-39	13-21	--	13-21-	141-18	--೭೦	
5.	Gulhalli.	155-9	1-20	16-0	17-20	137-29	--	
6.	Jidaga.	148-44	--	--	--	148-44	--	
7.	Shakhapur.	3-8	--	--	--	3-8	--	
8.	Alland.	186-15	2.20	10.0	12.20	173-35	--	
	Total:-	1832-26	166-21	53-0	68-21	1764-5	106-38	

Copy

Sd/-
Exeeutive Engineer,
Upper Krishna & Bhima,
Survey & Investigation
Camp, Yermarus.
(Via. Raichur.

/ TRUE C

DS UNDER(SANGOGI DAM SITE)
ICT GULBARGA.

trees. Other trees.	No. of wells. Pucca	Katcha.	Total	Total valuation.	Rema
10	11	12	13	14	
93	4	6	10	8,19,136-33	Average cost per acre Rs. Rs. 945/-.
24	-	-	-	94,907-50	
56	-	-	-	49,787-50	
3	-	-	-	1,50,750-00	
22	2	-	2	1,70,380-00	
18	1	4	5	2,11,240-00	
--	-	1	1	3,560-00	
71	-	2	2	2,33,13 -84	
28	7	13	20	17,32,890-17	

Sd/-
Tahsildar Aland.

Statement showing the cost of lands etc. of Kolhari and Sangolgi village, Taluka Aland.

Sl. No.	Name of the village.	Cost of land per acre.			Extent of Govt. land (in acres)		Acreage under Crops.			Remarks.
		Garden lands.	Rabi lands.	Kharif lands.	Cultivated lands.	Waste lands.	Garden.	Rabi.	Kharif.	
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11
						Ac. Gn.	Ac Gn		Ac. Gn.	Ac. Gn.
1-	Kolhari.	800 to 1200	500 to 800	500 to 800	--	559-31	41-7	2309	997-21	3924-31
2-	Sangolgi.	800 to 1200	-do-	-do-	--	338-24	23-23	1541	546-15	2443-35

Sd/-

Tahsildar, Aland Taluk.

Sd/-

Executive Engineer,
Upper Krishan & Bhima Survey
& Investigation Division -
Camp Yermarus. (via - Raichur).

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE TAHSILDAR
ALLAND.

NO.1053/IRR/61-62/6077. Dated. 7.2.1962.

To.

The Divisional Commissioner,
Gulbarga.

Sub:- Valuation of the areaunder submersion - Korahalli Reservoir and Sangogli Dam Taluk Alland.

Ref:- Your D.O.No.REV/1360/IRR/61-62 dated 29.1.62.

--

I write to state that, myself and Assistant Engineer Upper Krishna and Bhima Project, visited the site on 2.2.1962, and collected the data as required in the Inspection Note.

I am to further state, that valuation of the land i.e. submeregeaole, is assessed in presence of Panchas of the villages, and keeping in view of the local price of the lands per acre of the respective villages.

The required information in detail is enclosed herewith in the form of a Statement separately for Korahalli Reservoir and Sangogli Dam. A copy of the same has already been handed over to the Assistant Engineer on 5th instant only.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
TAHSILDAR ALLAND.

Copy submitted to the Dy.Commissioner,Gulbarga with reference to his L.No.DCB/IRR/61-62 dated 31.1.62 along with the said information, for information.

Copy to the Asst.Engineer Upper Krishna and Bhima Project, Sub- Division No.3 Camp Yermarus for information.

Sd/-
TAHSILDAR ALLAND.

/TRUE COPY /

Sl. No.	Name of the village.	Total sub-mergeable area.	AREA UNDER IRRIGATIABLE CH By Nala.	By Well.	Total.	Dry lands.	Govt: Land.	NO.OF TREES. Fruit bearing trees.	Other trees.	Approximate value of the well.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Sangolgi	916-24	4- 0	21-00	25-00	891 24.	68-16	22	93	3570 00
2.	Korahalli	139-24	-	-	-	139 24	-	14	24	1740 00.
3.	Bhusnoor	127-23	-	-	-	127 23	34-02	13	56	2275 00
4.	Rajwal	154-39	13-21	-	13-21	141 18	4-20.	3	3	275 00
5.	Julhalli	155-09	1-20	16-0	17-20	137 29	-	26	22	1775 00
6.	Jidaga	149-04	-	-	-	149 04	-	18	18	1980 00
7.	Shakapur	3-08	-	-	-	3. 08	-	-	-	-
8.	Alland	186-15.	2-20.	10-00	12.20	173 35.	-	44	71	6230- 00
	TOTAL:-	1832-26	21 21	47-00	68.21	1764 05.	406 38.	140.	287	17842 00

Total.	Aprro-ximate value of the well.	Value of the lands under sub-mission.	Appro-ximate cost of the bunds.	Appro-ximate cost of struc-ture.	Total valuation cols. II+15+17+18.	Remarks
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
10	20900-00	79466 33	-		819136-31.	
-	-	90867-50 ✓				
-	~~90867-50~~	~~2300 00~~	2300 00.		94907-50.	
-	-	46512 50.	1000 00.		49787-50.	
-	-	150475 00	-		150750- 00	
2	7000-00	161605/ 00.	-		170380- 00	
5	18000- 00	19126 00	-		211240-00.	
1	1000 00	2560 00.	-		3560-00.	
2	4500 00	220907 84.	1500 00.		233137-84.	
20	51400 00.	1658854 17.	4800 00.		1732899-17.	

STATEMENT OF VALUATION OF LANDS ...
DISTRICT GULBARGA.

Sl. No.	Name of the village.	Total sub-mergeable area.	AREA UNDER IRRIGATIABLE ON			Dry lands.	Govt: Land.	NO. OF TREES.		Approximate value of the well.	NO. OF WELLS.
			By Nala.	By Well.	Total.			Fruit bearing trees.	Other trees.		Pucca well.
2		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Korahalli,	1201 22.	33-34.	145-21.	179 15.	1022-7.	-	157	598	46080 00.	14
2.	Sangolgi	762 12	4-00	9-00	13-0	749-12	17-10	9	80	3220 00	6
3.	Rajwal	23-4	7-23	-	7-23	15-21	-	-	-	-	-
4.	Guchalli	2-16	-	-	-	2-16	-	-	4	200-00	-
5.	Bhusnoor	153-20	-	-	-	153-20	11-04	13	41	1975-00	-
6.	Dhottergoan	211-23	-	-	-	211-23	2-32	3	10	1150-00	-
7.	Alland.	5-16	4-00	-	4-00	1-16	-	8	8	600-00	-1
	TOTAL:-	2359-33	49.17	154 21	203 38	2155-35	31-06	190	741	53225-00	20

JLLI RESERVOIR TALUK ALLAND.

al.	ximate value of the well.	of the lands under sub-mission.	Appro-ximate cost of the bunds.	Appro-ximate cost of struc-ture.	Total valuation cols. II+15+17+18.	R e m a r
	15	16	17	18	19	20
	157000/00.	2141028/000	47045-00	7500-00.	2398653-00.	Average cost per acre Rs. 1432/-
	15500/00	570782/50	-	-	589502-50	
	-	32350/00	-	-	32350-00.	
	-	2150-/00	-	-	2350-00.	
	-	115175/00	-	-	117150-00.	
	-	230190/00	-	-	231340-00:	
	1000/00	6480/00	-	-	8080-00.	
	173500/-	3098155/50.	47045-00	7500-00.	3379425-00.	

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 2304
17 MAR 1962
PUBLIC WORKS (MAJOR IRRIGATION)

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
TAPAL SECTION
16 MAR 1962
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

To

The Secretary,
to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore,

16.3.62

SUBJECT: Selection of Kolhar Dam site for Amaraja Project- Request of the Public of (1) Battarga, (2) Dhangapur, (3) Javali (4) Dyavanti, Bhosanoor villages etc.,

Sir,

We the undersigned public of the above mentioned villages beg to bring to your kind notice :-

1) That the Government ~~were~~ pleased to include the Amaraja Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that Kolhari Da site has been selected for this purpose. We have been accord--ingly informed through the Govt. letter No. PWD-2-MBM 59 dated 31-3-1961 addressed to the Chief Engineer, Irrigatio Projects Muneerabad.

16/3/62

2) That the public of all the above villages have been pleased to know of the inclusion of the Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that of selection of the site.

3) That the public had been trying to induce the Govt. to take up this Project for the past 30 years i.e., dur the period of Nizam's Govt. and those of the successive Govts But, for the only reason that one Shri Bhimrao Patil Korhalli (deceased)/who was the main obstacle, the Govt. could not take up this project not with-standing the strenuous efforts of the public and the recommendations of the technical authorities. It was so that the former Govts. had always been either postp or cancelling the proposal of the above said Project against interest of the public.

4) That it is after 30 years the present Govt. have considered it favourably after ascertaining the views an recommendations of the technical hands and other authorities

Contd.....

The Secretary,
to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT: Selection of Kolnur Dam site for
Arkavathy Project- Request of the
Public of (1) Battarga, (2) Dhanapur,
(3) Javali (4) Dyavanti, Thogarnor
villages etc.,

Sir,

We the undersigned public of the above mentioned villages beg to bring to your kind notice :-

1) That the Government were pleased to include the Arkavathy Project in the 3rd Five Year plan and that Kolnur Dam site has been selected for this purpose. We have been accordingly informed through the Govt. letter No. PWD-S-3-61 SG dated 31-3-1961 addressed to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects Mysore.

2) That the public of all the above villages have been pleased to know of the inclusion of the Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that of selection of the site.

3) That the public had been trying to induce the Govt. to take up this Project for the past 30 years i.e., during the period of Nizam's Govt. and those of the successive Govts. but, for the only reason that one Shri Bhimrao Patil Kondaji (deceased) who was the main obstacle, the Govt. could not take up this project not withstanding the strenuous efforts of the public and the recommendations of the technical authorities. It was so that the former Govts. had always been either postponing or cancelling the proposal of the above said project against the interest of the public.

4) That it is after 30 years the present Govt. have considered it favourably after ascertaining the views and recommendations of the technical heads and other authorities

have now included this Project to be taken up in the 3rd Five Year Plan and the Kolhari Dam site has been selected as its suitable site. But, we are now hearing from Shri Anna Rao Patil Korhalli, a son of the said Bhimrao Patil Koralli has managed to delete the inclusion of this Project in 3rd Five Year Plan through the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga, who has been induced by his wife to do so, as she was invited in a function by Shri Anna rao Korhalli and was persuaded there to do some thing in his favour. The public of the above villages are really distressed to see that the Govt. have failed to accede to the request and interest of the public. It is really a matter of great wonder that in days of Democracy even the interests of one or two selfish persons are being looked after neglecting the interest of vast bulk of population.

5) That, this is to be noted that the Divisional Commissioner is not competent authority and technical hand to deal with the above matter, just to please one or two selfish persons. The Project has been included in the third Five Year Plan after the careful study, survey and recommendations of the proper authorities and technical hands, which has been done only in the interest of the public.

P R A Y E R

In view of the above facts we very humbly and respectfully make our earnest request to you that the recommendations made by the Divisional Commissioner be kindly be neglected for the sakee of the benefit and the welfare of the masses, as the inclusion of this Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan, selection of the Kulhari Dam site as its site is the prosperity of the thousands of the people of this area.

people of this area.

as the site is the prosperity of the thousands of the in the 3rd Five Year Plan, selection of the Kulhani Dam site the welfare of the masses, as the inclusion of this Project kindly be neglected for the sakes of the benefit and the recommendations made by the Divisional Commissioner and respectfully make our earnest request to you that

In view of the above facts we very humbly

P R A Y E R

public.

works, which has been done only in the interest of the and recommendations of the proper authorities and technical third Five Year Plan after the careful study, survey political persons. The Project has been included in the to deal with the above matter, just to please one or two Commissioner is not competent authority and technical hand

5) That, this is to be noted that the Divisional

population.

looked after neglecting the interest of vast bulk of the interests of one or two selfish persons are being a matter of great wonder that in days of Democracy even to the real and interest of the public. It is really distressed to see that the Govt. have failed to accede favour. The public of the above villages are really Korhalli and was persuaded there to do some thing in his to do so, as she was invited in a function by Shri Ram Rao Commissioner, Gulbarga, who has been induced by his wife :: this Project in 3rd Five Year Plan through the Divisional Bhimrao Patil Korhalli has managed to dobbe the inclusion from Shri Ram Rao Patil Korhalli, a son of the said selected as the suitable site. But, we are now hearing 3rd Five Year Plan and the Kolhari Dam site has been have now included this project to be taken up in the

We hope that very soon, the Govt. will take all necessary steps to implement the Third Five Year Plan with all its inclusions like that of Amaraja Project in the interest of the public and ultimately making the Plan a successful one.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(Bhairappa Patil)
R/o Bhosanoor, Post: Bhosanoor
Representative of the Public
of villages, Battarga,
Dhangapur, Javali, Dyavanti,
Bhosanoor villages etc.,
of Taluk a Aland, Dist.
Gulbarga.

D/- 14-3-1962.

Encls:- 6 papers (bearing the signatures of the public of six villages).

Copy to:-

1. Hon'ble Minister for P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore.
2. The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
3. The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation, North, Munira d.
4. The Superintending Engineer, PWD, Camp Yermarus, Raichur Circle.

for information and necessary action.

We hope that very soon, the Govt. will take
all necessary steps to implement the Third Five Year
Plan with all its ramifications like that of Varaha Project
in the interest of the public and ultimately making the
Plan a successful one.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(Panitoppa Patil)
R/o Bhoomanoor,
Representative of the Public
of villages, Hattarga,
Dharwar, Javali, Dyavanti,
Bhoomanoor villages etc.,
of Taluk & Alund, Dist.
Gulbarga.

Copy to:-

Hon'ble Minister for P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation, North, Hubli-Dhd.
The Superintending Engineer, WD, Camp Yermarus,

The Villagers of Dhannor Tq. Aland Dist. Gulbarga.

1 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
13 [illegible]
14 [illegible]
15 [illegible]
16 [illegible]
17 [illegible]
18 [illegible]
19 [illegible]
20 [illegible]
21 [illegible]
22 [illegible]
23 [illegible]
24 [illegible]
25 [illegible]
26 [illegible]
27 [illegible]
28 [illegible]
29 [illegible]
30 [illegible]
31 [illegible]
32
33
34 
35
36 [illegible]
37 [illegible]
38 [illegible]
39 [illegible]
40 [illegible]
41 [illegible]
42 [illegible]
43 [illegible]
44 [illegible]
45 [illegible]
46 
47 [illegible]
48 [illegible]
49 [illegible]
50 [illegible]

(51) [illegible]

(52) [illegible]

(53) [illegible]

(54) [illegible]

(55) [illegible]

(56) [illegible]

(57) [illegible]

(58) [illegible]

(59) [illegible]

(60) [illegible]

(61) [illegible]

62) [illegible]

63) Patil MB

64) [illegible]

65) [illegible]

66) [illegible]

67) [illegible]

The Village of Bovanti Tk. Alland Dist. Gulbarga.

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11)
(12)
(13)
(14)
(15)
(16)
(17)

(18)

(19)

(20)

(21)

(22)

(23)

(24)

(25)

The villagers of Jawali T. Aland Dist. Gulbarga.

1) [illegible]
2) [illegible]
(3) Sidramappa S/o Channappa.
4) Shantappa S/o Channappa
(5) [illegible]
(6) [illegible]
(7) Guru Shantrasappa Sl. Basvant Rao. patil
(8) [illegible]
(9) [illegible]
(10) [illegible]
(11) [illegible]
(12) [illegible]
(13) [illegible]
(14) [illegible]
(15) [illegible]
(16) [illegible]
(17) [illegible]
(18) [illegible]
(19) [illegible]
(20) [illegible]
(21) [illegible]
(22) [illegible]

(23) this thumba is Yesa nna Adappa Bhaddi vanta

(24) [illegible]

(25) [illegible]

(26) this thumba is Rayappa Dhanger

(27) [illegible]

(28) [illegible]

(29) [illegible]

(30) [illegible]

(31) [illegible]

(32) Shantrasappa. patil

The villagers of Sirur Tk. Aland Dist. Gulbarga.

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11)
(12)
(13)
(14)
(15)
(16)

T.I. of Humnabad-Patel. Vol.

The Villagers of Bhalki Tk. Bidar Dist. Gulbarga.

1) [illegible]

2 [illegible]

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5) [illegible]

6) [illegible]

7) [illegible]

8) [illegible]

(9) 

T. I. of Sidramappa Nimbeni.

(10)

[illegible]

(11)

[illegible]

(12)

T. I. of Veerappa Kalyani.

The Villagers of Dhangapur Tk. Alland Dist. Gulbarga.

(1)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(5)
(6)
(7)
(8)
(9)
(10)
(11)
(12)
(13)
(14)
(15)
(16)
(17)
(18)
(19)
(20)
(21)
(22)
(23)
(24)

G.Siddananjiah, B.Sc.,
Private Secretary to the
Minister for Public Works
and Electricity
Transport.

BANGALORE.

Dated.....March .196

M 61



Shri Bahirappa Patil,
r/o Bhosanoor,
Taluk Aland, Dist. Gulbarga.

Sir,

I am desired to acknowledge your letter dated 14th March, 1962, regarding Amaraja Project.

MMH 61
6/3/62 D.S. 24/3/62



M 61/1

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(G.Siddananjiah)
Private Secy. to Minister for
Public Works & Transport.

Copy to:- The Secretary to Govt. PWD, to-gether with the letter(in original).

B.O.

(G.Siddananjiah)
Private Secy. to Minister
for Public Works & Transport.

26.3.62

RS

27/3/62

Legislative Asst
G. Ranga
27/3/62

The file on the Subject has been submitted to the D.S. on 24.3.62 in file no. Proc HT MMH 61. The file was awaited. for orders

To

The Secretary,
to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore,



file Acre
pl. get a report and put up
16/3

SUBJECT: Selection of Kolhar Dam site for Amaraja Project- Request of the Public of (1) Battarga, (2) Dhangapur, (3) Javali (4) Dyavanti, Bhosanoor villages etc.,

'C'
29/4/61

Sir,

We the undersigned public of the above mentioned villages beg to bring to your kind notice :-

1) That the Government were pleased to include the Amaraja Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that Kolhari Dam site has been selected for this purpose. We have been accordingly informed through the Govt. letter No. PWD-2-MBM 69 dated 31-3-1961 addressed to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects Nunegrabad.

2) That the public of all the above villages have been pleased to know of the inclusion of the Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that of selection of the site.

3) That the public had been trying to induce the Govt. to take up this Project for the past 30 years i.e., during the period of Nisam's Govt. and those of the successive Govts. But, for the only reason that one Shri Bhimrao Patil Korhalli (deceased) who was the main obstacle, the Govt. could not take up this project not with-standing the strenuous efforts of the public and the recommendations of the technical authorities. It was so that the former Govts. had always been either postponing or cancelling the proposal of the above said Project against the interest of the public.

4) That it is after 30 years the present Govt. have considered it favourably after ascertaining the views and recommendations of the technical hands and other authorities

have now included this Project to be taken up in the 3rd Five Year Plan and the Kolhari Dam site has been selected as its suitable site. But, we are now hearing from Shri Anna Rao Patil Korhalli, a son of the said Bhimrao Patil Koralli has managed to delete the inclusion of this Project in 3rd Five Year Plan through the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga, who has been induced by his wife to do so, as she was invited in a function by Shri Anna rao Korhalli and was persuaded there to do some thing in his favour. The public of the above villages are really distressed to see that the Govt. have failed to accede to the request and interest of the public. It is really a matter of great wonder that in days of Democracy even the interests of one or two selfish persons are being looked after neglecting the interest of vast bulk of population.

5) That, this is to be noted that the Divisional Commissioner is not competent authority and technical hand to deal with the above matter, just to please one or two selfish persons. The Project has been included in the third Five Year Plan after the careful study, survey and recommendations of the proper authorities and technical hands, which has been done only in the interest of the public.

P R A Y E R

In view of the above facts we very humbly and respectfully make our earnest request to you that the recommendations made by the Divisional Commissioner be kindly be neglected for the sakes of the benefit and the welfare of the masses, as the inclusion of this Project in the 3rd Five Year Plan, selection of the Kulhari Dam site as its site is the prosperity of the thousands of the people of this area.

Thanking you,

We hope that very soon, the Govt. will take all necessary steps to implement the Third Five Year Plan with all its inclusions like that of Amaraja Project in the interest of the public and ultimately making the Plan a successful one.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

(Bhairesha Patil)
R/o Bhosanoor,
Representative of the Public
of villages, Battarga,
Dhangapur, Savali, Jyavanti,
Bhosanoor villages etc.,
of Taluka Aland, Dist.
Gulbarga.

D/- 14-3-1962.

Copy to:-

1. Hon'ble Minister for P.W.D. Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore.
2. The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore.
3. The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation, North, Kundrabad.
4. The Superintending Engineer, PWD, Camp Yermarus, Raichur Circle.

for information and necessary action.

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

D.O. No. PWD 47 MMS 61.

Amaja Dam Project - Site ~~for~~ lie

Dear Sir,

Ref:- T.O letter of even no. dated 1-11-61 and subsequent reminders

I am desired to invite your reference to this office letters cited above and to state that the report called ~~for~~ on the Project has not been received ~~sent~~ here yet. As the matter has been pending ~~undecided~~ for considerable time ~~delayed~~, I am to request you kindly to bestow your personal attention to the matter and forward the report called for here immediately, for consideration of Govt.

The matter is extremely urgent

Yours faithfully

CE (PP) Mysore

10/11/62

10.11.62

10/11/62

K.B.Kurarappa, B.E., M.C.E.(U.S.A.),
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department(Irrigation).

D.O. No.PWD.47.MMI 61.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,

Dated, Bangalore, the 13th April, 19

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Amarja Dam Project - site for the -

-.-

Ref:- This office letter of even number dated 1.11.61 and subsequent reminders.

-.-

I am desired to invite your reference to this office letters cited above and to state that the report called for on the subject has not been sent yet. As the matter has been pending for a considerable time, I am to request you kindly to bestow your personal attention to the matter and forward the report called for therein immediately, for consideration of Government.

Appendix F. G – 1]

SUBJECT Tmarja Dam Project – Site for ki

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Date of issue.....

Signed by..... 47-MM1-61

Designation in the P.W.D.

Dated 6-5-62

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D.O. Dy.No. 47 MM 1 61

No.

To Deputy

Ref:- T.O. letter of even no dated 1-1-61 and reminders

I am desired to refer your reference to this office cited above and to request kindly to expedite forwarding of the report called for and subject for taking further action.

Immediate compliance is requested.

4/5/62

CE (PP) Tumkur

1.5.62

WD 04606 GPB PZ– 5,000 4-60

3/5/62

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

K.B. Murarappa, B.E., M.C.E.(U.S.A),
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
(Irrigation).

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated: 4th May, 1962.

D.O.No. PWD 47 MMI 61.

Dear ~~Shri~~ Sir,

Sub:- Amarja Dam Project - site for the -

Ref:- This Office letter of even number dated 1st November 1961 and reminders.

=======

I am desired to invite your reference to this office letters cited above and to request you kindly to expedite forwardal of the report called for on the subject for taking further action.

Immediate compliance is requested.

Yours sincerely,

To:-

Shri: M.A. Subhankhan, B.A., B.E., A.M.I.E.,
Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

A note on the request may be submitted to the Minister.
B.O.
P.S. to Min. for PW & Transport.

MIS To

The Hon'ble Minister for P.W.D.
Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore,

Secy. to Govt.)
PW & E.

3620

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR P.W.D. & ELECTRICITY
P.No. 277
26 APR 1962

REMINDER

SUBJECT: Selection of Kolhari Dam site for Amaraja Project - Request of public of (1) Nimbarga, (2) Battarga, (3) Dhangapur, (4) Javali , (5) Dyavanti, (6) Bhusanoor, Villages etc., of Aland Taluka District Gulbarga,

Respected Sir,

With reference to the above subject, we most humbly beg to draw your kind attention towards application dated 14-3-1962, addressed to the Secretary to the Govt. P.W.D. Bangalore and copied to you and other authorities.

That in our above application, we have brought to your kind notice the Amaraja Project has been included in the 3rd Five Year Plan and that the Kolhari Dam site has been selected for this purpose after careful study of the recommendation, in the interest of many villages, by the technical authorities, and survey and acquisition of the land also been completed and this was accordingly informed to the parties concerned. That we have also stated in the same application that the proposal was there for the last 30 years and it was only one Sri Bhimarao Patil (deceased) of Koralli village, Taluka Aland who was opposed to this proposal and the former Govts. kept in pendency for the last 30 years. After the dawn of independence, the Govts. took keen interest for the upliftment of the public and it was thus that it was decided to include the Amaraja Projec in the 3rd Five Year Plan and the suitable site (Kolahari Dam site) has also been selected.

That for no reason, Shri Anna Rao Patil (A son of Shri Bhimrao Patil Koralli deceased) adopted one and the same policy against the interest of the public as his fath

Contd....

had done before and one day managed to invite the wife of the present Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga to attend a function at Aland. Availaing of this opportunity he requested her to induce her husband (the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga) to write to the Govt. of Mysore, either to postpone the construction of Amaraja Project or to cancel it for ever by deleeting it from the 3rd Five year Plan.

That the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga has now written to the Govt. just to please Sri Anna Rao Patil Koralli, against the interest of the Public of many villages. Shri Anna Rao Patil Koralli himself is now telling every body that it was he himself who could manage to all this through the wife of the Divisiona l Commissioner, Gulbarga .

The public of many villages proposed to be irrigated and turned into green, by taking up this project, are now very much distressed. They are really in a state of great confusion and surprise to know how the Govt. is being led away one or two selfish persons against the interest of the bulk of the population and that too in the great days of Democracy.

However, we take this opportunity by way of this application to humbly request you to brush away the opemion or suggestion of the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga with regard to the Amara ja Project for the sake of public interest and see that the 3rd Five Year Plan be implemented as it is now with all its inclusions like that of Amaraja Project and thus help this area to be prosperous.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Bhairappa Patil,
R/o Bhusanoor village Tq.Aland,
& Public of Nimbarga, Battarga,
Dhuttargaon, Javali etc.

Copy forwarded with compliments and with a request to:-

1. The Chief Minister, Govt.of Mysore, Bangalore,
2. The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore,
3. The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) Munirabad.
4. The Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Camp: Yermarus, Raichur,
5. The Executive Engineer, P.W.D.Upper Krishna Dam, Project, Raichur.

Copy forwarded with compliments and with a request to:-

The Chief Minister, Govt. of Mysore, Bangalore,

The Chief Engineer, (General) in Mysore, Bangalore,

The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) Hubli.

The Superintending Engineer, P.W.D. Camp: Yerraguntla, Kadapa

The Executive Engineer, T.B.U.Sub-Division No. Project,

VILLAGE BHOSANOOR

VILLAGE NI BERGA.

1 [illegible]
2 Shivamurtappa Sapanch
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
13 [illegible]
14 [illegible]
15 [illegible]
16 [illegible]
17 [illegible]
18 [illegible]
19 S. G. Patil
20 [illegible]
21 [illegible]
22 [illegible]
23 [illegible]
24 [illegible]
25 Veeramma
26 [illegible]
27 [illegible]
28 [illegible]
29 [illegible]
30 [illegible]
31 [illegible]
32 [illegible]
33 [illegible]
34 [illegible]
35 [illegible]
36 [illegible]
37 [illegible]
38 [illegible]
39 [illegible]
40 [illegible]
41 [illegible]
42 [illegible]
43 [illegible]
44 [illegible]
45 [illegible]
46 [illegible]

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ ಪಾಣಾರ
Subbammappa Panara

VILLAGE JAVALI

34 [illegible]

35 [illegible]

36 A.S. Patil

37 [illegible]

38 [illegible]

39 [illegible]

40 [illegible]

41 [illegible]

42 [illegible]

43 [illegible]

44 [illegible]

45 [illegible]

46 [illegible]

47 [illegible]

48 [illegible]

49 Rathna

[illegible]

50

51

52

53

53-1

53-2

54

55

1 H.B.Patil

2

3

4 

5

6 

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 R.S.Kumbar

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 

46 ~~[illegible]~~

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

VILLAGE BATTARGA.

ISSUED ED. LIV

ಗುರುಶಾಂತಪ್ಪ 39

ಲಿಂಗಾಯತ 32

ವಿರೂಪಾಕ್ಷಪ್ಪ 33

ಸಂಗಪ್ಪ 34

ಶಿವರಾಜ 35

ಫಕೀರಪ್ಪ 36

ಬಸವಣಪ್ಪ 37

ಶಿವಮೂರ್ತಪ್ಪ 38

ವೀರಭದ್ರ 39

ಸಿದ್ಧಪ್ಪ 40

ಬಸವಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ 41

ರೇವಣಪ್ಪ 42

ಮುಕ್ತಿ 43

41 ಅಡಿವೆಪ್ಪ

44 ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ

45 ಸಿದ್ಧಪ್ಪ

46 ಮುದಕಪ್ಪ

47 ಶಿವಪ್ಪ

48 ರಾಘವೇಂದ್ರ

50 ಹನುಮಪ್ಪ

49 ಸಿದ್ಧಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ

52 ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ

53 ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ

54 ಶಿವಪುತ್ರಪ್ಪ

55 ಮಾಯಪ್ಪ

56 ರಾಘವೇಂದ್ರಸ್ವಾಮಿ

VILLA











































VILLAGE DHANGAPUR











GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

P.R.Nagendra Murthy,
B.Sc.,B.E.,

Deputy Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects.

Munirabad.

D.O.No. AMI1/60 TA3 Dated. 1.5.62

Dear Sri. Murarappa,

Sub:- Amarja Dam project site for the.

...

Please refer to your D.O.letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 13th April 1962. The report dealing with the alternative dam sites across Amerja River has been received from the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar and it is under scrutiny in this office. A detailed report in the matter will be forwarded to Government shortly.

Yours Sincerely

1.5.62

MIS

5.4.62

30/4

To

Sri. K.B. Murarappa,
B.E.,M.C.E.,(USA)
Deputy Secretary to Govt:
Public Works Department
(Irrigation) Bangalore.

YGR.

4/5/62

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Amaja Dam Project Site — Site for the

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Date of issue Dated 22-5-62

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D.O. PWD 47 MMD 61.

No. Dear Sir,

To

Ref: Your letter No. AMJI/60 dated 1-5-62

I am directed to refer to your letter cited above and request you kindly to expedite forwarded of the report called for on the subject, for taking further action.

Urgent action is requested.

Dy. C.E (PP) Engrs

18-5-62

19/5/62

K.B.MURARAPPA, B.E., M.C.E(USA),
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT(IRRIGATION),

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE, DATED 22 MAY, 1962.

D.O.No.PWD 47 MMI 61.

Dear Sir,

Sub:-AMARJA DAM PROJECT--SITE FOR THE...

Ref:-Your letter No.AMJ1/60 TA3 of 1-5-62.

I am desired to refer to your letter cited abcve and to request you kindly to expedite forwardal of the report calledfor on the subject, for taking further action.

Urgent action is requested.

Yours Sincerely,

Shri P.R.Nagendra Murthy, B.Sc., B.E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
MUNIRABAD.

rao/19/5/1962.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT Amaja Dam Project - Site for bri

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 47 dated 14/6/62

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

D.O. Prd 47 MMJ 62 Serial No.

Dear Sir,

No.

To Ref:- Your D.O. letter of even no dated 22.5.62

I am desired to invite your reference to this office letter cited above and to request you kindly to expedite forwarding of the report called for in the subject for taking further action.

Immediate report is required.

Yours

C.E.(PP) Bangalore

8/6/62

6/6/62

...MURARAPPA, B.E., M.C.E.(USA),
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT(IRRN.).

VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE, DATED 14 JUNE, 19

D.O.No.PWD 47 MMI 61.

Dear Sir,

Sub:-AMARJA DAM PROJECT - SITE FOR THE....

REF:-This Office letter of even number dated22-5-1962.

I am desired to invite your reference to this office letter cited above and to request you kindly to expedite forwardal of the report called for on the subject for taking further action.

Immediate report is requested.

Yours sincerely,

14/6/62

Shri M.A.Subhankhan, B.A., B.E., A. M.I.E.,
Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects,
M U N I R A B A D

rao/11/6/1962.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Office of the
Chief Engineer, PWD.
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

NO. AMJ 1/60/TA3 Dated. 2-7-62

To 9 Sheets & 2 maps.

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.



Sub:- Selection of Amarja Dam project site.

Ref:- Govt:letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 1-11-61 and subsequent expeditors.

...

Sir,

The investigations for constructing a reservoir across Amarja river in Gulbarga District were taken up in 1959. Three sites (1) Nimbalga, (2) Korahally and (3) Sangolgi, were investigated. The Nimbalga site was given up due to not getting suitable foundations for founding the structure and due to submergence of valuable lands and three villages. In this regard Government letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31st March 1961 may be referred to with which approval was received to prefer Korahally site instead of Sangolgi site. Subsequently Government directed in their letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 1-11-1961 to furnish a final report regarding selecting a site either at Korahally or Sangolgi site keeping in view the following factors.

1) The lands of Korahally village coming under submergence are costlier than those of Sangolgi Village.

2) There is considrable extent of Government land in Sangolgi village.

3) The village Sangolgi is nearer to Aland town than Korahally and as such the camp buildings can be located at Aland which is 2 to 3 miles from Sangolgi.

4) Whether the terrain at Sangolgi village will provide for cheaper construction costs in respect of dam to be constructed

As regards item (1) it may be stated that the cost per acre of land coming under submergence in either village is practically the same as per statement furnished by the Tahsildar of Aland (copy enclosed).

As regards item (2) it is to be stated that more of Government lands will come under submergence at Sangolgi site. In case Sangolgi site is selected 70 acres of Government lands of Sangolgi village will get submerged out of a total submergence of 107 acres of Government lands. If Korahally site is selected 17 acres of Government lands of Sangolgi Village will get submerged out of a total submergence of 31 acres of Government lands. The total submergence at Sangolgi and Korahalli sites are 1832 acres and 2359 acres -- respectively.

As regards item (3) it may be stated that there is no definite advantage in selecting either site as the distance between the two dam sites is only about half a mile and further the head quarters of both the Divisions and Sub-Divisions will be located at the Dam site itself.

As regards item (4) it may be stated that the terrain is the same at both the places.

Besides the above there are other factors also to be considered. The Korahally site would provide - utilisation of 3.3 T.M.cft. as against 2.57 T.M.Cft. at Sangolgi site, as the same is a higher site. Further it may be stated here that the figure furnished to Krishna Godavari Commission is 2.36 T.M.Cft. The -- approximate cost of the dam at Korahally site is -- Rs. 1.91 crores and that at Sangolgi site is Rs.1.53crores to irrigate an area of 16,500 acres and 13,000 acres - respectively and the cost per acre irrigated worksout

to Rs. 1157/- and Rs. 1177/- respectively.

It has been represented by the people of -- Korahally Village that a large scale development of the village under various spheres of activity has been taken up under N.E.S. and hence their Village be spared from submergence. This being a bigger -- village the question of rehabilitation will be more difficult. The alternative site at Sangolgi being only about half a mile upstream would submerge two - villages having a population of 1107 as against three villages with a total population of 2350 persons at Korahally Site. Besides the economy of Korahally -- Village will be improved if the dam site is located at Sangolgi

Thus taking all the above aspects into consideration, Sangolgi Site is recommended for irrigati an area of 13,000 acres in preference to Korahally S previously decided. I request that approval of Gover ment be communicated for taking up detailed investig tions for a dam site at Sangolgi Site. A copy of the report and Indix map received from the Superintendin Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar, this regard is enclosed herein for information.

Yours faithfully,

G. C. Approved by C. E

Chief Engineer, PWD
Irrigation Projects.

29/4

Copy of letter No. MJT/7780 dated 12-3-62 from the Superintending Engineer, Irrigation Investigation Circle, Dharwar, to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation - Projects, Munirabad.

Sub:- Amerja Dam project site for the --

Ref:- Your office No. BHM/60/TA3 dated 14-11-1961 and subsequent expeditors.

...

With reference to the above correspondance, I have to submit a report as under:-

Investigations for a storage dam across the river Amarja, a tributary of Bhima river, in Gulbarga District have been taken up by the U.K.& B.S.I. Division, since 1959. The river Amarja flows for about 25 miles in North west to South East direction upto Korahally village and then flows southwards. It takes its origin somewhere in Osmanabad District of Maharastra State and after -- flowing through a distance of about 50 miles in Mysore state, joins the river Bhima near Ghanagapur. For a -- major portion of its length it flows through Aland and Afzalpur Talukas of Gulbarga District, which are often affected by famine or scarcity conditions. As the ~~mater~~ rainfall is not evenly distributed throughout the -- monsoon season, crops whither away due to untimely and insufficient rains. It was therefore, proposed to take up the investigation work of an irrigation project -- across this river for providing irrigation facilities to these areas, for raising the necessary food crops. A number of sites for possible storage across this river have been studied and investigated. Out of the various sites, three sites were studied in greater detail. i.e. (i) at Nimberga, (ii) at Korahally and (iii) at Sangolgi The investigations at Nimberga revealed that there are no good foundations for the dam and that there is large scale submersion of valuable lands and three villages. The cost of constructing a storage at this place was thu ruled out as the cost would be naturally high. Detailed investigattions are therefore in progress for construc-

constructing a storage dam near Korahally village as per instructions issued under Government letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31st Mrach 1961.

The people of Korahally village which gets submerged under this reservoir have now represented to drop the idea of constructing a dam at Korahally site and have suggested the construction of a dam near Sangolgi village, about a mile downstream of Sangolgi village.

Subsequently, Government in their letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 1-11-61 have again called for a report on the merits and demerits of both the dam - sites, after considering the various points raised by Government in their letter. The points as referred to in the Covernment letter are briefly as under:

(i) The lands coming under submergence belonging to Korahally village are costlier than the lands belonging to Sangolgi village.

(2) That there is considerable extent of Govt: land in Sangolgi village.

(3) That the village Sangolgi is nearer to Aland town than village Korahally, and hence, the project headquarters could be located at Aland which is 2 to 3 miles from Sangolgi, but 7 miles from Korahally.

(4) Lastly, Government have directed to examine whether the terrain at Sangolgi will provide for a cheaper construction costs in respect of a dam to be constructed.

Regarding point No.(1) it may be stated that the cost per acre in both the villages of Korahally and Sangolgi coming under submergence is almost the same as could be seen from a statement furnished by the Thasildar, Aland (copy enclosed)

As regards point No.(2) i.e. regarding the Government land at Sangolgi village, it may be stated that according to the figures now worked out there will be nearly 107 acres coming under submergence, belong to Government, out of which 70 acres belong to

Sangolgi village, if Sangolgi site is selected and that there would be 31 acres of Government land coming under submergence, if Korahally site is selected out of which 17 acres would belong to Sangolgi village. Thus it would be seen that by constructing a storage at Sangolgi, more of Government land would be submerged. The total submergence will also be less namely 1833 -- acres as against 2360 acres for storages at Sangolgi & Korahally sites.

Regarding Point No(3) it may be mentiond here that the question of locating the headquarters of the Division would depend upon various factors. As Aland happens to be a taluk headquarters with all facilities like posts & Telegraphs, Treasury etc., it would be worthwhile to locate the headquarters of the Division at Aland which factor will be considered while preparing the detail estimates. It may however, be mentioned here that other staff namely the Sub-Divisions etc., will have to be located at the project site itself. In both the cases namely the Korahally site and the -- Sangolgi site, both of which are situated within about ½ mile distance, the execution staff will be located more or less very near to each other.

As regards Point No.(iv) regarding the terrain obtainable at the 2 Dam sites, it may be mentioned that there is not much difference between the 2 Dam sites as can be seen from inspection. The 2 sites are also ~~meat~~ situated very near to each other and similar foundation conditions as well as flank conditions are expected to come up at each of these sites.

The other factors that have to be taken into consideration in this case, are the utilisation of the water potential of this river at these 2 Dam sites. The Korahally site would provide a storage of 2.05 T.Mcft. of water and utilise 3.30 T.Mcft. for irrigating an area

of 16,500 acres. The Sangolgi site would provide a storage of 1.52 TMcft. of water and utilise 2.57 TMcft. for irrigating an area of 13,000 acres. The reasons for a smaller utilisation at Sangolgi site is that small -- nallas coming from the north - east of Korahally village happen to join downstream of Sangolgi site.

Government had also referred this matter to the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga under their letter No. PWD 47 MMI 61 dated 1st November 1961 for his opinion The site was also inspected by the Divisional Commissioner, Gulbarga, along with the Execuive Engineer, U.K.& B.S.I. Division on 28-1-1962. The Divisional Commissioner Gulbarga requested, during his inspection, to work out the compensation figures for these 2 sites in consultation with the Tahasildar, Aland. The figures now have been worked out for compensation for land based on the information given by the Tahasildar, Aland.

Tentative Details for both the Dam sites have been worked out for the said utilisations as mentioned above and their salient features,cost, etc., are given in the accompanying statements for perusal. From the statements it can be seen that the cost of constructing a dam at Korahally site will stand at Rs. 1.91 crores and that at Sangolgi site would be Rs. 1.53 crores to irrigate an area of 16,500 acres and 13,000 acres respectively The cost per acre irrigated will be Rs. 1157/- and -- Rs. 1177/- per acre respectively.

It is a crucial point now for cinsideration as to whether the Korahally site can be finally decided upon The Korahally village is a sufficiently big village with a population of 1500 souls and is also reported to be a village selected under N.E.S. There are other developmental activities also going on in this village. Although the Korahally site provides for larger area under irrigation and utilise a bigger water potential, the

the important aspect of re-habilitating this village subsequantly due to submergence of the storage would be a problem by itself. Looking to the difficulties that are generally experienced in rehabilitating the villages coming under submergence, it is seen that this work requires a careful and even sentimental -- attitude while rehabilitating the population from the submergence area to a new area. Apart from this, being a big village, it is bound to meet the usual opposition from the people of Korahally village itself. The alternative dam site namely that at Sangolgi site, being only about ½ a mile upstream of this village, would be in turn prove a boon to this village. This project -- happens to come on the downstream side of Sangolgi site and would be of great help in improving the economy of the village by many folds. In the case of submergence by having a storage at Sangolgi, the 2 villages to be submerged together would have a population of 1107 -- souls as against a total of 2350 souls for Korahally site. Thus the problem of rehabilitating will be of much lesser magnitude in case of Sangolgi site. Regarding reduction in the irrigated in area in case the Sangolgi site is taken up, it is suggested that some sort of pick up weirs or minor irrigation tanks can be still had below this Dam site which can fully -- utilise the yield coming from these ctachments.

Thus, taking into consideration all the points discussed above, it is suggested that the Scheme at Sangolgi dam site, to irrigate an area of 13,000 acres would be more acceptable than the Scheme at Korahally as previously decided.

I, therefore request you kindly obtain final orders in the matter and communicate the same to this office so that further investigation can be proceeded with and the project report prepared.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE COST OF LAND ETC., OF KOLHARI AND SONGOLGI VILLAGE TALUKA ALAND.

...

Sl. No.	Name of the Village.	Cost of land per acre			Extent of Govt. land(in acres)			Acreage under crops						Remarks
		Garden Lands.	Rabi Lands.	Kharif Lands.	Cultivated land.	Waste land.		Garden		Rabi	Kharif			
						As.	Gn.	As	Gn		As	Gn		
1.	Kolhari	800 to 1200	500 to 800	500 to 800	-	557	31	41	7	2309	997	21	3924	31
2.	Sangolgi	800 to 1200	-do-	-do-	-	333.	24	22	23	1541	545	19	2443	35

sd/-
Thasildar, Aland Taluka.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

AMARJA IRRIGATION PROJECT.

SALIENT FEATURES OF KOLHARI & SANGOLGI SITE.

Sl. No.	Particulars.	Kolhari site.	Sangolgi site.
1.	Catchment area.	275 sq. miles.	215 Sq. miles.
2.	Yield from catchment.	3,303 T.M.C.	2.58 T.M.C.
3.	Maximum flood discharge (By Dickens formula)	67,510 cusecs.	56,100 cusecs.
4.	Full reservoir level.	1493.00	1515.00
5.	Maximum water level.	1499.00	1521.00
6.	Top of the Bund.	1506.00	1528.00
7.	Flood lift.	6'(feet)	6'(feet)
8.	Capacity of F.R.L.	2.143 TMC.	1.674 TMC.
9.	Capacity of Cill.	0.09 "	0.154 "
10.	Live storage.	2.053 "	1.520 "
11.	Dead storage.	0.09 "	1.154 "
12.	Max.height of the dam above lowest river bed.	78 feet.	90 Feet.
13.	Max.height of the dam above lowest foundation.	86 feet	86 feet.
14.	Length of the dam.		
	(a) Ogee spillway section.	1,200 feet	1,100 feet
	(b) Masonry Section.	400 "	300 "
	(c) Earthen Section.	2,850 "	1,900 "
15.	Proposed utilisation.	3.30 TMC.	2.57 TMC.
16.	Proposed irrigable area.	16,500 acres	13,000 acres.
	(a) Under L.B.C.	10,000 "	9,000 "
	(b) Under R.B.C.	6,500 "	4,000 "
	C A N A L S.		
17.	Length of the canals.		
	(a) Left Bank Canal.	30 miles.	28 miles.
	(b) Right Bank Canal.	20 miles.	19 miles.
18.	Sill level of canals.	1450.00	1476.00
19.	Discharge of canals at head.		
	(a) Left Bank Canal	75 cusecs.	75 cusecs.
	(b) Right Bank Canal.	48 cusecs.	38 cusecs.
20.	Area submerged under the Reservoir.		
	(A) Dry lands.	2125 acres	1657 acres
	Bagayat lands.	204 "	69 "
	Govt: lands.	31 "	107 "
		2360 "	1833 "

21.	No. of villages submerged.	2 Nos.	2 Nos.
	(a) No.of houses.	399 Nos.	182 Nos.
	(b) No.of wells.	13	2
	(c) No. of temples and public buildings.	15	9
22.	Population effected.	2350 souls.	1107 souls.
23.	Total cost of project. based on figures. As given by Revenue Department for land compensation.	Rs. 1,91,00,000	1,53,00,000
24.	Cost per acre. Based on figures given by Revenue Department for land compensation.	Rs. 1,157/-	Rs. 1,177/-

Executive Engineer,
Upper Krishna & Bhima Survey &
Investigation Division, Yermarus.

sd/-
Superintending Engineer,
Irrigation Investigation Circle,
Dharwar.

"Incorrect"
No
28/6

GOVENMENT OF MYSORE.

Amerja Irrigation Project.

GENERAL ABSTRACT FOR KOLHARI(KORAHALLI) & SANGOLGI SITES.

...

Cost of project at both sites taking the figures for land compensation as given by Revenue Department.

S.l. No.	Sub-Head.	Particulars.	Kolhari site.	Sangolgi Site.
			~~75,000~~	~~75,000~~
		I. Reservoir and Canals.		
1.	'A'	Preliminaries.	75,000	75,000
2.	'B'	Land (compensation details as prepared in Thasil Office.	60,31,000	32, 53,000
3.	'C'	Works.	58,66,000	52, 40,000
4.	'D'	Regulators.		
5.	'F'	H.Hill Torrent works.		
6.	'G'	Bridges.	37,15,000	37,00,000
7.	'L'	Earth work.		
8.	'K'	Buildings.	5,65,000	5,65,000
9.	'M'	Plantation.	50,000	40,000
10.	'O'	Miscellaneous.	3,70,000	3,00,000
11.	'P'	Maintenance.	3,15,000	2,50,000
12.	'Q'	Special Tools & Plants.	2,15,000	2,15,000
			1,72,02,000	1,36,38,000
		II.Establishment.	7,78,000	7,27,000
			1,79,80,000	1,43,65,000
		III.Distributaries.	7,69,000	6,15,000
			1,87,49,000	1,49,80,000
		Deduct the salvage value of Special T & P.	10,000	10,000
			1,87,39,000	1,49,70,000
		Ayacut Engineering - works.	3,61,000	3,30,000
			1,91,00,000	1,53,00,000

sd/- S.M.Patil,
Executive Engineer,
U.K.&B.S.I. Division.

sd/-
Superintending Engineer,
Irrigation Investigation Circle,
Dharwar.

"Tracing"
No 28/16

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form).

To, The CE(RD)

Sir,

Ref:- Your letter no. AMJ I/4 TA 3 dated 2.7.62

w.r. to the above

I am directed to state that in view of the several advantages available at Sangli site, Govt are pleased to approve of this site in the Krishna Dam instead of the Koralaki Site, as intimated in letter no. Proj 2 MBM 59 dated 31.3.61.

Action may kindly be taken to prepare plans and estimates for the work including the project at Sangli site and take up the work early.

Yours faithfully,

for Secy to Govt

Secy

N PWD 47 MMI 61.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRET
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 19th Sept.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Selection of Amarja Dam/Project Site.

Ref:-Your letter No.AMJ 1/60/TA3 dated 2nd July 1962.

I am directed to state that in view of the several advantages availalble at Sangdi Site, Government are pleased to approve of this site for the Amarja Dam instead of the Korahalli Site, as intimated in letter No. PWD 2 MBM 59 dated 31-3-1961.

Action may kindly be taken to investigate the Project of Sangdi site and prepare plans and estimates for the work.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa) 18.9.62
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation).

ncj/d.15962.

Issued
Encls N.I.
Dt. 19.9.62